

Il Registro Reale

An Architectural Description of the Universe Based on the Division Algebras of Hamilton and Cayley

Gilda Senatore

Naples, November 1994

Unpublished Manuscript

Abstract

This document outlines the theoretical framework left behind by Ettore Majorana upon his disappearance in March 1938. Based on his unfinished, real-valued solution to Dirac's wave equation, this text presents a complete derivation of the architecture of the universe, grounded in the descriptive geometries of the octonions and quaternions. By applying division algebras, it demonstrates how the algebra of quaternions projects a three-dimensional spatial representation to preserve causality, while the underlying bookkeeping is dictated by the eight dimensions of the octonions. Ultimately, superposition is explained as the absence of associativity in the octonionic substructure.

Editorial and Biographical Note

I was only twenty-three years old when my professor at the University of Naples, Ettore Majorana, disappeared without a trace in March 1938. For more than five decades, I have preserved the fragmentary, feverish notes he handed over to me during his final days in the city.

This manuscript, written in Naples in 1994, is my attempt to systematize, derive, and explain his ultimate theoretical framework for the first time—a theory that replaces space and matter with a cosmic bookkeeping of algebraic operations and geometric symmetries.

1. Introduction: The End of the Material Illusion

The greatest intellectual misstep of classical physics, and largely of twentieth-century quantum mechanics, was the conviction that matter possesses a fundamental

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

existence within a predetermined space. When Ettore Majorana returned from his stay with Werner Heisenberg in Leipzig in 1933, he brought not new formulas for nuclear forces, but the existential insight that physicists were blowing apart the shadows on the cave wall in search of a substance that did not exist.

Reality, Majorana argued, cannot be understood by accelerating and smashing particles. To find the truth, one had to abandon physics and turn instead to the geometry and information preservation of pure mathematics.

2. From Dirac to the Real Zero-Sum

The starting point for Ettore's deepest theoretical insight was Paul Dirac's wave equation for the electron, published in 1928 [2]. Dirac's formalism was an elegant synthesis of quantum mechanics and special relativity, but it relied on a mathematical assumption that Majorana found unacceptable. Dirac's matrices rested on complex numbers and introduced a necessary imaginary unit (i) to preserve the symmetry between electrons and anti-electrons. Dirac's equation in its standard form can be written as:

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

Where γ^μ are the Dirac matrices and ψ is the wave function. This use of complex numbers constituted an artificial mathematical trick.

In his final calculations in Rome and Naples, Majorana succeeded in forcing this formalism to become entirely real [1]. He constructed a new set of gamma matrices, $\tilde{\gamma}^\mu$, which were purely imaginary, meaning that $\gamma^\mu = i\tilde{\gamma}^\mu$. Inserted into Dirac's equation, this yields:

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \Rightarrow (-\tilde{\gamma}^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi = 0 \quad (2)$$

Since the entire operator is now real, the solution ψ can also be made completely real ($\psi = \psi^*$). The direct physical consequence of this seemingly simple algebraic transformation is as monumental as it is terrifying: a fermion described by this real equation is completely neutral, lacks electrical charge, and constitutes its exact own anti-particle.

In a classical physical paradigm, this appears as a logical paradox. How can something possessing mass simultaneously constitute its own immediate annihilation?

In the notes Ettore left to me, he demonstrated that the paradox arises precisely because we view the universe as a space filled with solid objects. Majorana instead applied the Renaissance mathematics developed by Fra Luca Pacioli [3]: double-entry bookkeeping. In this cosmic ledger, Dirac's electron is a liability (debit) and the positron an asset (credit). When they meet, they offset each other.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

Majorana's real fermion, on the other hand, is not a particle in the traditional sense. It is an algebraic reconciliation cipher. It is an individual entry in the system's ledger that audits its own value, establishes that the balance is exactly zero, and thereby closes the transaction without leaving any remainder. The account balances to a silent zero point. Matter is thus not solid substance, but unbalanced transactions in the underlying matrix of the universe.

3. Descriptive Geometry and the Division Algebras

If we assume the universe at its core is a cosmic bookkeeping system, the next fundamental question arises: How does this architecture process states without losing information?

A bookkeeping system must transfer a balance from one entry to another without loss of value. In pure mathematics, according to Hurwitz's theorem [8], there are exactly four structures that permit this—the normed division algebras: $\mathbb{R}$ (1D), $\mathbb{C}$ (2D), $\mathbb{H}$ (4D), and $\mathbb{O}$ (8D).

Real and complex numbers are insufficient for causal information transfer, where cause must rigidly precede effect (non-commutativity, $AB \neq BA$). To achieve an architecture capable of both handling state transfer and maintaining strict causality, the algebra of quaternions, formulated by William Rowan Hamilton [4], constitutes the ideal geometric descriptive model for an ordered reality.

The quaternions ($\mathbb{H}$) consist of a real scalar part and three imaginary parts (i, j, k). The real part constitutes the entry's invariant balance (its rest inertia), while the sequential order of non-commutative imaginary rotations establishes what we experience as the inexorable direction of time. Because the squares of the three imaginary units are negative ($i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$) relative to the positive square of the real scalar, evaluating the algebraic square naturally introduces the relative sign reversal between the invariant interval and the three spatial projections, analogous to the Minkowski metric [9]¹.

The three independent imaginary axes are necessary identifiers to maintain causality during state exchange. Our biological senses translate these three underlying mathematical axes into height, width, and depth. We experience living in three dimensions solely because it is the smallest logical geometry capable of handling cause and effect without breaking the causality of the bookkeeping. Three-dimensional space is thus a geometric projection of the algebraic requirements of the quaternions.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

4. The Octonions and the Eight Dimensions of the Ledger

But if three-dimensional space is the observable representation, what happens in the most fundamental phases of the bookkeeping?

A formal causal relationship (quaternions) is insufficient to accommodate the infinite complexity and indeterminate states (superposition) we observe in the universe. Ettore's formulas from 1938 prove that the underlying phase of the universe, before a causal decision has been locked, operates according to the algebra of the octonions, $\mathbb{O}$, introduced by Arthur Cayley [5].

The octonions are spanned by one real unit and seven imaginary units ($e_1, e_2, \dots, e_7$). In classical physics, researchers have often searched for these as "hidden spatial dimensions." Majorana clarified that the concept of space here is an illusion. The eight components of the octonion instead constitute the fundamental algebraic states for every single entry in the system's bookkeeping ledger.

By applying the automorphism group for real octonions, G_2 , whose structure was mapped in detail by Wilhelm Killing and Élie Cartan [6, 7], Majorana could exactly derive how the forces and spacetime of the universe arise from this geometry when a transaction is recorded:

I. The Real Component: Topological Resistance (Mass)

The sole real part of the octonion constitutes the invariant balance of the entry. Since the real part is independent of the imaginary directional axes, it does not participate in the active geometric communication. Instead, it manifests as the entry's inertia or topological resistance during updates in the ledger. This completely decouples gravity from the active forces; what we call mass and gravity is, in fact, this purely algebraic resistance—a passive latency in the bookkeeping that perfectly corresponds to the role of the metric tensor in general relativity.

II. The Fixed Imaginary Axis: Causal Sequence (Time)

For an indeterminate state to be closed and avoid superposition, one of the seven imaginary axes must be fixed as the causal direction—the sequence in the bookkeeping. As Cartan proved [7], fixing an imaginary axis in the octonions reduces the 14-dimensional Lie group G_2 to its maximal subgroup, the 8-dimensional special unitary group $SU(3)$.

In our experience, this fixed axis constitutes the inexorable forward march of time.

III. The Three Associative Projections: Space

When the causal axis is locked and the symmetry is broken to $SU(3)$, the remaining six imaginary degrees of freedom of the ledger are divided¹. Exactly three of these axes must enforce associative dependencies for the transaction to be logically valid in relation to other entries in the ledger. These three associative axes are projected outward and create the illusion of three spatial dimensions (height, width, depth). Space is thus merely a geometric shadow of the bookkeeping's demand for associativity.

IV. The Three Internal Symmetry Axes: Causal Cohesion (Strong Nuclear Force)

The last three imaginary axes are not projected spatially. They remain as internal instruction patterns strictly governed by the emergent $SU(3)$ symmetry. In his 1938 notes, Majorana identified these axes as the algebraic baseplate that forces individual entries to merge into closed, stable triadic clusters to balance the ledger—an exact geometric deduction that, as we can confirm in retrospect from the standpoint of twentieth-century particle physics, corresponds precisely to color confinement and the strong nuclear force².

The overcomplexity of additional gauge fields and fictitious particles that modern physics struggles with is thus a theoretical artifact. Electromagnetism and the weak nuclear interaction are not fundamental geometric axes in the octonion; they are merely superficial reconciliation routines and receipt protocols for these closed algebraic clusters when they exchange balances with each other³.

5. Superposition as the Loss of Associativity

If the universe operates with this octonionic geometry, the question arises as to why we only experience the ordered causality of the macro-world. The answer is fundamental to understanding quantum mechanics.

Within the algebra of the octonions, associativity is lacking:

$$(e_i \cdot e_j) \cdot e_k \neq e_i \cdot (e_j \cdot e_k) \quad (3)$$

In the unaudited foundation of the ledger, prior to a definitive reconciliation of the accounts, this absence of linear order is not an error. It is the fluid state of contradictions and indeterminate outcomes that we call quantum mechanical superposition. It is a state where the bookkeeping is not yet closed, and where the sequence of order is meaningless.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

However, if this geometry, lacking associativity, were to be projected as our primary reality, cause and effect would immediately fluctuate freely, and the logical ledger of the universe would overflow with insoluble contradictions.

Therefore, the system is forced into a necessary pruning. At the exact moment a causal decision is made—an observation or an interaction—the architecture must compress the data from the algebra of the octonions to the associative subalgebra of the quaternions. The universe masks the octonions to preserve causality.

This is the collapse of the wave function. It is a necessary compression of information from a non-associative octonionic readiness to a strictly associative, three-dimensional spatial projection, saving the double-entry bookkeeping from corruption.

6. Conclusion

During the darkness of the approaching world war, Ettore Majorana realized that the mechanistic dream of understanding matter through fission and acceleration was a fundamental mistake. Instead of taking the projection apart, he mapped the projector itself. By applying Arthur Cayley's algebra to his own real solution of Dirac's equations, Majorana succeeded in formulating a completely new and revolutionary explanation of how the universe is architecturally constructed.

His final theory proves that reality in its deepest structure is not a physical space filled with matter, but a self-correcting, algebraic bookkeeping. Through the geometry of the octonions, he defined an underlying architecture where every event constitutes a transaction in a cosmic zero-sum game. This model offers not only an elegant solution to the paradoxes of quantum mechanics regarding superposition and the collapse of the wave function, but exposes the geometry inherent in all existence. It is now my duty to ensure this insight into the true architecture of the universe finds its proper place in the scientific ledger.

Notes

¹ While Hurwitz's theorem [8] requires the norm $N(q) = q\bar{q} = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ of the real division algebras to be strictly positive-definite (ensuring that no non-zero entry can vanish during transfer), the algebraic square of a quaternion $q = x_0 + x_1i + x_2j + x_3k$ yields $\text{Re}(q^2) = x_0^2 - (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)$. Majorana noted that this intrinsic sign opposition between the real balance (x_0) and the three associative imaginary axes (x_1, x_2, x_3) is the purely algebraic origin of the Lorentzian signature in Minkowski's metric [9]. Within the octonionic Fano plane, once one imaginary unit (say e_7) is fixed as the causal sequence

(Section 4.II), the remaining six imaginary units naturally split into two orthogonal triads of three dimensions each (Sections 4.III and 4.IV).

² In March 1938, neither non-Abelian gauge theories nor quantum chromodynamics had been formulated. Majorana's notes refer strictly to Cartan's 1914 proof [7] that the stability subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O}) = G_2$ fixing a single imaginary unit is $SU(3)$, describing this 8-parameter subgroup as "*la coesione tripla del nucleo*" (the triple cohesion of the nucleus). As an editor writing in 1994, I have retained his exact geometric derivation while noting its striking equivalence to the $SU(3)$ color symmetry of the strong nuclear interaction discovered decades later.

³ Because the associative projection into $\mathbb{H}$ remains non-commutative ($e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$), the exact sequential order in which two entries audit and update one another alters the resulting state. In Majorana's ledger, these non-commutative reconciliation protocols between already closed $SU(3)$ clusters account for the chiral asymmetries of beta decay (the weak nuclear interaction) without requiring fundamental new spatial dimensions—a principle that reduces transient particle resonances to mere intermediate balancing entries in the cosmic ledger.

References

- [1] Majorana, E. (1937). Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 14(4), 171-184.
- [2] Dirac, P. A. M. (1928). The Quantum Theory of the Electron. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 117(778), 610-624.
- [3] Pacioli, L. (1494). *Summa de arithmetica, geometria, proportioni et proportionalita*. Venice.
- [4] Hamilton, W. R. (1844). On Quaternions; or on a new System of Imaginaries in Algebra. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, 3, 1-16.
- [5] Cayley, A. (1845). On Jacobi's Elliptic Functions, in Reply to the Rev. B. Bronwin; and on Quaternions. *Philosophical Magazine*, 26(173), 208-211.
- [6] Killing, W. (1888). Die Zusammensetzung der stetigen endlichen Transformationsgruppen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 31(2), 252-290.
- [7] Cartan, É. (1914). Les groupes simples clos et ouverts et les géométries riemanniennes. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 10, 149-186.
- [8] Hurwitz, A. (1898). Ueber die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variabeln. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 309-316.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

[9] Minkowski, H. (1908). Raum und Zeit. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, 18, 75-88.

Doctoral Dissertation, Bologna, Italy 2030

The Universe as an Information Swarm:

An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter

Author¹: Clara Moreno

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (written March-November 2030)

The dissertation consists of an overarching paper and six focused papers:

[0] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.

[1] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability. Unpublished manuscript.

[2] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Lorentz Invariance as Topological Bandwidth Allocation: Time Dilation and the Mass Limit in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.

[3] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Quantum Decoherence as an Asynchronous Timeout Error: Topological Addressing Limit and Forced State Provocation in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.

[4] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Dark Energy as Entropic Queue Latency: Landauer's Principle and Cosmic Expansion in a Distributed Graph. Unpublished manuscript.

[5] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Quantum Entanglement as Topological Pointer Aliasing: Non-Locality and the Illusion of Distance in a Network-Rendered Spacetime. Unpublished manuscript.

[6] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Wave-Particle Duality as Lazy Evaluation: Deferred Execution, Wigner's Friend, and Horizon Complementarity in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

PAPER 0

The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter

Author: Clara Moreno

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (written March 2030)

Abstract

Modern theoretical physics is characterized by an ontological crisis where ad hoc solutions are constantly introduced to explain empirical anomalies within the framework of a continuous spacetime. This article presents an alternative ontology for the architecture of the universe by postulating that the universe fundamentally consists of an emergent swarm of information vectors. Our geometric description of the causal behavior of this swarm is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). By modeling spacetime and the forces of nature as an emergent, locally rendered projection of underlying information streams in a distributed computational field – rather than an absolute background or fundamental building blocks – the model offers logically consistent explanations for phenomena such as the collapse of the wave function, dark matter, dark energy, and baryon asymmetry. The model reformulates spatial distance as latency in causal dependency chains, and interactions as topological restructurings, described via division algebras. Furthermore, strict, empirically falsifiable criteria are introduced linked to neutrino oscillation, the absence of retrocausality, and absolute limits for quantum coherence. Finally, the epistemological consequences of the model, the universe as an object-oriented information organism, and potential risks of topological overload in the development of synthetic quantum computers are discussed.

1. Introduction

Modern theoretical physics has long been in a deeply rooted methodological and ontological crisis [1, 2]. The field's stagnation and theoretical deadlock are well documented in the scientific and philosophical debate [1, 3, 4, 5], but despite this widespread realization, the institutional inertia remains massive and any genuine attempts at structural renewal are conspicuous by their absence. During large parts of the 20th century, particle physics became increasingly focused on measurements of high-energy collisions in particle accelerators. This experimental methodology generated a comprehensive spectrum of short-lived particles, which within the framework of the Standard Model [6] have been uncritically interpreted as the fundamental building blocks of the universe. This development, herein referred to as system and particle forensics, has however not led to a unified understanding of the architecture of the universe, but rather to an unbalanced accumulation of unstable models and fictitious constructs to explain measurement data [3].

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

2. Theory

2.1 The Primacy of Information and the Emergent Swarm

The model rests on a radical ontological shift where information is considered the universe's only existing substance. In this ontology, the idea of predetermined structural containers is rejected; not only physical matter, spatial extent, and time are dismissed as secondary, compilatory projections, but also fundamental geometries and overarching architectures. Initially, there is no geometry to execute within. The absolute foundation of the universe consists exclusively of a vast ensemble of identical, one-dimensional information vectors. Each individual vector carries within itself the universe's fundamental "source code" – a simple, hard-coded instruction set that exclusively dictates how the vector should act and integrate upon encountering another vector. The overarching, programmed purpose of these vectors is to act syntropically: to make systematic progress towards increased complexity by merging according to causal rules. A central premise for this to work is the conservation principle of syntax, which stipulates that fundamental information vectors can never be deleted from the system, but only discharged or restructured. What we define as the Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) [7] is thus not a physical architecture that the vectors reside within. It is an abstract, statistical description of the vectors' emergent behavior. The graph arises emergently; it is the observable pattern we map when trillions of vector instructions build sequential complexity in a massively distributed computational field with a forward-directed causal arrow.

2.2 The Holographic Projection and the de Sitter Ensemble

To bridge the gap between the vectors' local source code and the observable rendering, the model reformulates the holographic principle. Unlike the classical Maldacena conjecture [8], which assumes an Anti-de Sitter (AdS) space, no such spatial container exists in this model. Our observable reality, spacetime, is instead an ensemble of local, lower-dimensional projections generated by the swarm's interactions. Each local information cluster (observer) forces a coordinate transformation from the field's underlying causal information streams to a local de Sitter (dS) rendering. A fundamental problem with applying the holographic principle to an expanding de Sitter bubble is its convex, outward-facing topology; unlike the AdS description, there is no fixed spacelike boundary at infinity where information is traditionally assumed to be stored. The model solves this by utilizing the observers' own cosmological event horizons. Since the rendering constantly expands outwards from the observer's reference point, the local event horizon constitutes the absolute limit for the observer's available address space and causal reach. Instead of relying on a global, infinite baseplate, each individual observer's local event horizon thus functions as the holographic screen itself. It is across this surrounding, convex boundary surface that information from the network's

underlying clusters is compressed and projected inwards, which creates the local rendering of the three-dimensional, spatial construct inside the bubble. A crucial consequence of this is that no actual information exchanges take place inside the rendered spacetime. Physical reality is a passive projection. Interaction is instead modeled as a process where observers make interpretations based on the changes they read in their local rendering, and based on this, initiate collective symmetry breaking in the underlying information stream.

2.3 Division Algebras and Descriptive Topology

Since geometry and spatial extent merely constitute emergent phenomena created by the vector swarm, no "divisions" or "algebras" exist as fundamental locations in the source code. Mathematical structures such as octonions and quaternions are epistemological tools – they constitute humanity's foremost descriptive models to date for understanding how the vectors' built-in instructions force patterns when complexity scales up. The universe's underlying vectors do not know the algebra of octonions, but they act in a manner mapped by it. When we apply these division algebras, we find that the octonions ($\mathbb{O}$) describe the initial, uncompiled handshaking phase between information vectors, before they have agreed upon a causal direction [9]. The octonions' lack of associativity thus reflects the swarm's local disorder and corresponds with the superposition of quantum mechanics. For the swarm to execute a stable, causal interaction – a collective symmetry breaking – the logic must however be strictly associative. This is observed as the compression from the octonionic state down to the algebra of quaternions ($\mathbb{H}$) [10]. Quaternions enforce associativity. The active interaction protocols and the perceived dimensions are described in this step through an algebraic cascade process. The automorphism group for real octonions is G_2 . Within this descriptive geometry, the fixation of a single imaginary axis means that the G_2 -symmetry breaks down to exactly $SU(3)$ [11]. In the model, this fixed axis constitutes the agreed-upon causal sequence. When this axis is locked, the swarm's remaining six imaginary degrees of freedom are divided up¹. Three are projected as associative dependencies, which we experience as the phenomenological projection of three spatial axes. The three internal instruction patterns that remain are governed by the prominent $SU(3)$ -symmetry, which in physics is described as the strong nuclear force. The overcomplexity of additional gauge fields that modern physics grapples with is thus not a property of the universe's actual nature, but a mathematical mirage forced by a misinterpretation of the swarm's short-lived, non-commutative cleaning routines (the weak nuclear force). Finally, the real scalar component of the octonion – the clusters' topological computational weight or the resistance the clusters generate during causal updating – is dictated as a pure system latency, which decouples gravity from the active communication protocols and thereby solves the hierarchy problem of physics. Einstein's field equations [12] thus do not constitute the foundational law of the

1.

universe, but describe the macroscopic, thermodynamic limit for the swarm's topological inertia, where the metric tensor ($g_{\mu\nu}$) is in fact the mathematical representation of the field's local, direction-dependent (anisotropic) latency. Since causal delays in a DAG are dictated by specific network paths, the components of the tensor are required to map this topological resistance.

2.4 Time as Causal Computational Depth and System Reset

Time is rejected as an independent, forward-moving background dimension and is instead described as the causal computational depth, measured in the swarm's sequential interaction steps (clock cycles). Relativistic time dilation is explained as a consequence of local structural latency. When a complex information cluster requires exceptionally high bandwidth to merge and update its state in the distributed field, its local update frequency drops relative to surrounding nodes. In the rendering, this is observed as time locally slowing down. Since the conservation principle stipulates that basic information vectors can never be deleted, the network must handle accumulated asymmetries via archiving mechanisms. For the conservation principle to be maintained, the model postulates the fundamental necessity of the Majorana state [13]. Although classical particle physics has so far only observed this mechanism as quasiparticles, the architecture predicts that fundamental Majorana fermions must exist in the field; they act as a logical cancellation and reconciliation cipher. These nodes read themselves, sum their active value to zero, and archive the matter internally in the topological ledger, completely without emitting entropic noise in the form of active interaction vectors (radiation). Although this archiving generates passive structural latency in the field (see Section 3.7), the mechanism ensures that information is never deleted from the swarm, but only discharged from the active computational field.

2.5 Fractal Causality through Asynchronous Sealing of Subgraphs

A crucial property of the network's architecture is that the directed acyclic graph (DAG) does not constitute a flat topology with only a macro-level and a micro-level. The graph is instead a cascading, fractal tree structure of nested subgraphs.

The sealing of causal history therefore never occurs globally and instantaneously across the entire network – a process that would require the existence of an absolute, classical spacetime. Instead, the sealing occurs asynchronously and from the bottom up. When a causal boundary forces a conclusion in a deeply nested subgraph – for example, a measurement inside a closed system – the history is determined and sealed exclusively locally for precisely these nodes.

For the overlying subgraphs and the enclosing macrograph, this local conclusion does not yet exist as a global fact. The overlying structure can thus continue to apply lazy evaluation. It is only when the information exchange breaks the local subgraph's

isolation that the already sealed data propagates upwards in the hierarchy. This forces a mandatory synchronization (merge), whereby the macrograph updates its own dependency chain. The universe's block structure is thus not a static construct that solidifies everywhere simultaneously, but a continuously ongoing, cascading synchronization of asynchronous subgraphs.

2.6 Elementary Particles as an Object-Oriented Information Hierarchy

The search for indivisible, solid building blocks is replaced by a scaling, object-oriented information hierarchy. The universe operates through extreme information encapsulation to avoid logical overload in the field. At the lowest level of abstraction, we find causal gates – algebraic phase locks between individual vectors – which correspond to quarks. When the internal phases of vectors achieve algebraic resonance along shared causal dependency chains, they are immediately forced into a complementary state lock to avoid asymmetrical information loss, which fixes an absolute, binary state. This causal gate corresponds to the network phenomenon that physics terms a quark. A single gate is insufficient to balance its local topological pressure. Since the architecture is strictly acyclic, no closed loops are allowed. The vectors are forced to merge in tightly intertwined, forward-moving causal chains (triads) to reach stability, which explains color confinement [6, 14] and creates the closed clusters we know as baryons (protons/neutrons). Such a cluster subsequently only exposes its outer interface (API) to the surroundings via interaction nodes, corresponding to electrons. The atom thus functions logically as a closed, autonomous function, capable of building passive code libraries in the form of molecules when API ports are shared. In this hierarchy, the photon is a directed state vector that carries metadata packets between the interfaces. The photon's frequency functions as a cryptographic key that must match the receiver's resonance, while its polarization constitutes a sequential shift operation to keep the vector active in the network's causal dependency chains. The neutrino functions in turn as a causal receipt; an asynchronous cooling mechanism or distributed transaction log with near-negligible computational weight (latency) and without API ports. It constantly updates its state across inner algebraic axes to evade deallocation in the distributed field.

2.7 Macroscopic Dynamics, Syntropy and the Relative Rendering

The macroscopic development of the system and the thermodynamic stability of the architecture are exclusively governed by the dialectical interplay between entropy and syntropy. Entropy in the model represents the distributed swarm's inescapable requirement to preserve the system's syntax intact. In accordance with Landauer's principle, the irreversible pruning of uncompiled routing pointers during asymmetric information processes carries an inevitable thermodynamic cost, which in the swarm translates to a demand for more local computational steps and garbage collection [15]².

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

To avoid local information loss, the vectors in the field are forced into increasingly more sequential handshakes to handle topological conflicts, which creates an increasing latency in the information exchange across enormous address distances in the swarm. In the projection, this increasing delay in the network's causal dependency chains manifests as the perceived physical expansion of spacetime.

It is crucial here to reevaluate the most deeply rooted axiom of classical physics. For over a century, science has been misled into believing that the Second Law of Thermodynamics dictates the universe's inevitable decay into chaos and disorder. This is a fundamental misinterpretation of the system's mechanics. Thermodynamics actually proves the opposite: the universe is driven by an inescapable structural imperative toward ever-increasing complexity. Classical physics postulates that local order can only arise at the price of an equal or greater amount of global disorder. Our model dissolves this transactional dualism, asserting that order and disorder—on both local and global scales—are de facto the exact same phenomenon. Because the source code continuously registers asymmetric interactions without deleting fundamental vector data, the necessary dependency chain in the network is prolonged (the thermodynamic cost). What the macroscopic client interface has historically misinterpreted as a fundamental drive toward disorder (entropy) is merely the shadow of this process—the increased network latency and queue length generated when the system is forced to log its own growing complexity.

Syntropy is thus not a magical exception to the laws of thermodynamics, but its true outcome. It acts as the structural optimization algorithm required to navigate this growing queue. It constitutes the unifying driving force that weaves together isolated information vectors into topological structures of increasingly higher order, despite the increasing latency in the field. Entropy is merely the unavoidable computational cost of an expanding history, while syntropy is the actual purpose of the law: the encapsulation of information that prevents local execution failure. Ergo, entropy is syntropy viewed through the lens of computational latency.

From an empirical perspective, however, this reformulation of thermodynamics should be de-dramatized, as the process is both entirely natural and continuously ongoing. That the universe accumulates complexity is obvious—from the formation of biological life (as extreme logical encapsulation) to black holes (as extreme topological density). What our model does is not to mystify this phenomenon, but to holistically integrate it as an axiomatic property of the information swarm. On a meso-scale, this machinery is driven by the remaining internal instruction patterns (the $SU(3)$ -symmetry describing the strong nuclear force). Through nuclear fusion and fission, such as within the cores of stars, this symmetry acts as the system's thermodynamic engine. It creates the extreme, local processing environments where the swarm is forced to accelerate its syntropic

cluster game, merging fundamental causal chains and building increasingly advanced, stable code libraries (molecules).

It is this underlying dynamic that enables the organization from logical gates to code libraries, and further to biological cells. The cell is viewed here as the first active, recursive operating system. When enough such processes synchronize, macro-observers emerge – integrated vector clusters capable of actively forcing large-scale updates within the distributed swarm.

A fundamental insight in this dynamic is that the perceived projection, the rendering, does not constitute an objective, standalone volume. The rendering is initially invoked by the observers through their causal calls to surrounding vectors, whereupon it is interpreted by them in return. Since each observer operates from their own causal frame of reference and position in the computational field, this rendering becomes consistently subjective. This circumstance offers a deeper, topological explanation for the theory of relativity's postulate of an observer-dependent projection.

2.8 Observer Induction and the Collapse of the Source Code

Physical states do not exist as passive entities, but are consequences of induction. As long as information travels freely in the swarm's uncompiled, non-associative phase, it remains in superposition. When a causal call is made, the system is immediately forced to invoke associativity to respond, which freezes the information into a measurable value (the collapse of the wave function). In this context, the "observer" requires no biological consciousness; an observer is defined strictly topologically as any instantiated node or subgraph whose causal dependency chain forces an associative call against the uncompiled field.

2.9 Horizon Complementarity and Local Topological Dominance

Since each local cluster generates a subjective projection enclosed in its own de Sitter bubble, an objective, non-contradictory reality is ensured through horizon complementarity. When two overlapping horizons meet and competing causal chains are forced to compile the same underlying information stream, the conflict is not resolved via a global clock or centralized execution queue.

The swarm achieves consensus instead through local topological dominance (primary binding)³. In accordance with the conservation principle for syntax, the causal branch carrying the lowest computational weight (fewer outgoing causal links) is forced to subordinate. The heavier, more complexly woven subgraph—which statistically emits a vastly greater flux of causal handshakes ("scouts") and thereby first establishes the minimum routing latency to the uncompiled state—forces an algebraic symmetry breaking that causes the weaker branch to adjust its associativity to the dominant one. This distributed consensus mechanism prevents permanent forks in the rendering,

1.

whereby an objective and shared spacetime is maintained between asynchronous observers completely without the need for external schedulers.

2.10 The Bandwidth Limit, the Computational Field, and Spatial Projection

The speed of light (c) is the network's absolute bandwidth limit for causal messages; the ceiling for how fast information vectors can interact per clock cycle in the distributed swarm. No objects exist that "move" through any actual spatial volume in the hidden source code. Because all causality is routed via strictly ordered causal dependency chains, latency (the number of sequential cycles required for an interaction to propagate) translates to spatial distance in the rendering. Because each observer is enclosed in their local event horizon, the field's global update sequence is topologically masked. This isolation guarantees local Lorentz invariance, which is why the bandwidth ceiling and time dilation are experienced as relative based on the client's position [16].

2.11 The Immanent Source Code and the Universe as an Information Organism

The model's most central premise is the dissolution of the Von Neumann architecture [17]. The universe is not an information system running on an independent computer or within a pre-existing centralized architecture. The data program and the processor consist exclusively of the swarm itself. The fundamental source code is hard-coded in each individual vector, while the system's overarching logic – the structures we map as the graph, spacetime, and the algebras – are emergent phenomena that are executed sequentially as these vectors act. The program thus has two phases: the static rulebook in the vector, and the dynamic, emergent realization governed by the topological choices the clusters make in their syntropic striving. In this object-oriented paradigm, the universe does not appear as a mechanical clock, but as a gigantic organism of information. The fractal tree of nested subgraphs (see Section 2.5) constitutes in this context the system's growing anatomy, where each locally sealed block functions as a cell in a constantly upscaling structure. Viewed in this light, biological life is not a random byproduct of physics; it is the underlying source code's successful attempt to simulate and scale up its own information architecture into a macroscopic, self-replicating copy of the universe's "hardware".

3. Explanatory Potential

3.1 The Universe's Initialization and the Cosmic Background Radiation

The initialization of the universe originated from an absolute root node; the primary instantiation that established the network and served as the starting point for the vectors' causal swarming. The cosmic microwave background (CMB) [18] is the continuous projection of the system's very first causal update. That this root node update is measured today as a freezing microwave background (2.7 K) is a consequence of network latency. As causal dependency chains lengthen (see dark energy, Section

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

3.7), the distance in the computational field grows. This means that the background radiation is not a dying echo in a physical container, but that the interface is forced to render the initial, high-frequency update with an extremely prolonged wavelength (cosmological redshift). The temperature variations (ΔT) represent the swarm's first geometric symmetry breaking – the primal allocation that initiated the causal branching of the graph [19].

3.2 The Horizon Problem and the Initial Vectorization (Cosmic Inflation)

The alleged faster-than-light expansion during the universe's inflation lacks an ontological foundation. The initial spread – the immediate instantiation of the root node's vectors into base observers (causal gates/quarks) – occurred before an executable distributed network was even established. Even between regions at the outer edges of the rendering that are so far apart that light has not had time to pass between them, the information remains homogeneous. Because (c) is an emergent traffic rule that only applies to causal propagation within an established field, the very emergence of this swarm was decoupled from such speed limits [20].

3.3 The Collapse of the Wave Function, Subgraphs, and the Double-Slit Experiment

Experiments like the double-slit demonstrate the phenomenon of isolated subgraphs. Prior to a measurement, the swarm applies lazy evaluation. The information flow is temporarily decoupled from the main, associative rendering and exists undetermined (the wave). A direct causal call against this field forces an immediate collective symmetry breaking and a merge of the dependency chains, which establishes an exact measurement value [21, 22]. This also explains synthetic quantum coherence, where a massive subgraph is artificially forced to be kept open from associative demands. If the number of logical, error-corrected qubits approaches the local network topology's Bekenstein bound, the system is forced to drop the rendering through a timeout error to avoid overloading nearby nodes, which is observed as large-scale decoherence [23, 24].

3.4 Quantum Entanglement and Non-Locality

Quantum entanglement means that nodes are integrated into one and the same logical address in the source code (topological pointer aliasing). Even though the rendering projects them light-years apart in spacetime, in the uncompiled phase they are functionally the same entity, resolving the EPR paradox and the empirical violations of Bell's inequalities [25, 26, 27]. When induction forces an update, it is executed centrally in this shared node. Since the topological distance to the shared state in the DAG is zero and no information actually needs to propagate along the external causal dependency chains, the network's bandwidth limit (c) is never breached.

3.5 High-Energy Collisions and the "Particle Zoo"

Particle accelerators function in practice as decompilers that force logical exceptions in the field. When extreme data bandwidth forces an acute merge conflict in the underlying topology, the system allocates high-priority error-handling routines – identified in physics as the Higgs particle – to calibrate the system's fundamental idle state and prevent a crash [28]. The same logic reduces the massive spectrum of short-lived particles (the particle zoo). Heavy mesons, hyperon resonances, and W/Z bosons are not fundamental constituents of nature. They are instead the distributed swarm's software artifacts; the system's stack traces (error code logs), active system calls, and dynamic memory allocations (garbage collection). They are discharged in what is measured in the rendering as nanoseconds, because entropic cleaning routines clear them away as soon as the acute imbalance in the graph is resolved. Modern physics has thus built its immensely complicated framework by mistaking the distributed source code's error messages for the permanent pillars of the universe.

3.6 Black Holes, Hawking Radiation, and Gravitational Waves

In a graph-theoretic architecture, no physical pulling force exists. Gravity is the result of the swarm's syntropic striving for encapsulation and resource clustering, dictated by the network's traffic rules in the causal dependency chains. When information density locally saturates address capacity, the information is isolated in a closed quarantine subgraph (a black hole). The event horizon constitutes the subgraph's addressing limit. Microscopic rounding errors in the swarm's sequential transaction indexing and archiving at this addressing limit manifest in the projection as Hawking radiation [29]. At the limit where all this topological computational weight has leaked out, the information is not deleted; the swarm instead leaves scar tissue – a massless, inactive terminal archive node – in the field. Colliding compression files force massive reindexing. This sudden recalculation creates an enormous lag in the causal dependency chains. Since this topological delay must be inherited by all adjacent and subordinate nodes, the shock propagates outward through the distributed swarm at the system's maximum speed (c) and manifests in the projection as gravitational waves [30].

3.7 The Dark Sector: Dark Energy and Dark Matter

The Standard Model's and cosmology's perhaps most precarious anomalies consist of dark energy and dark matter [31, 32]. Within the framework of the model, the need for invisible particles or repulsive forces is completely dissolved; the phenomena instead constitute direct emergent consequences of the distributed swarm's internal method to avoid logical overload, completely without governance by any central processor.

When we apply the premise of the syntropic cluster game at the macroscopic level, the question arises as to why the universe's nodes have not long ago collapsed into one another. The answer is to be found in how the swarm handles entropy—the requirement for syntax preservation. As established in Section 2.7, entropy here is not a drive toward

disorder, but the inevitable computational cost (queue latency) of syntropic encapsulation and irreversible pointer cancellation. Since the spatial projection itself is an emergent rendering of the delay in the network's causal dependency chains, no physical "stretching" of a spatial container or added vacuum energy is required for the projection to appear increasingly larger (what physics terms accelerating expansion and dark energy). It only requires that the routing latency and causal path length in the computational field grow.

Every time massive vector clusters – galaxies – interact and develop locally, their asymmetric processes and decoherence events require gigantic amounts of internal handshakes (sequential clock cycles) to resolve topological merge conflicts and perform garbage collection. Since the swarm lacks an overarching compiler, all interaction must propagate node-to-node. To buffer this growing entropic queue latency and prevent a global bandwidth lock, the network continuously activates passive null vectors as intermediate routing steps between the clusters (horizontal scaling, rendered locally as vacuum fluctuations)⁴. As vectors become increasingly tied up in local subroutines inside the galaxies and intermediate null-vector steps are inserted to dissipate the queue debt, the causal depth – the number of necessary steps in the graph – increases for an information vector to cross the field to another cluster.

The delay (latency) for an interaction to travel between clusters thus grows exponentially. Because the network's update frequency (c) is one node per clock cycle, spatial distance in the projection literally represents the number of sequential steps between two nodes. When the field inserts more causal steps between clusters, the causal chain grows. In the client's interface, this increasing computational depth in the field is thus inevitably rendered as growing spatial distances; the universe is incorrectly observed to be physically expanding, when the swarm is in fact merely generating increasingly longer and more drawn-out causal dependency chains between distant nodes.

Simultaneously, these drawn-out causal chains do not constitute a passive state. Even a delayed dependency in a distributed graph requires continuous topological indexing of the swarm's adjacent nodes so that causality is not broken during the wait time. This distributed "idle state" carries an unavoidable thermodynamic cost. On a galactic scale, the maintenance of these long causal paths creates a gigantic, accumulated topological inertia in the field. What we observe as dark matter is thus the swarm's infrastructural maintenance cost to keep the drawn-out relations active across distances. This distributed inertia locally creates the extra structural cohesion that balances the galaxies' dependencies, and thereby prevents the clusters from immediately dissolving when their external relations are delayed.

3.8 Matter, Antimatter and the Appearance of Cosmic Symmetry

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

Cosmology's problem with baryon asymmetry – the absence of antimatter in the observable universe – is based on an ontological fallacy regarding Noether's theorem [33]. In classical physics, Noether proves that every continuous symmetry inevitably generates an unbreakable conservation law. Because the classical model considers spacetime and the gauge symmetries of the fields as fundamental, it is assumed that the initial energy conversion should have generated exactly equal parts matter and antimatter to preserve this symmetry.

In the architecture of the swarm, however, spacetime is rejected as fundamental. The true, underlying symmetry of the swarm is its acyclic syntax preservation. If we apply Noether's theorem to this network topology, the conservation law is shifted: what must be preserved is not a geometric balance of physical particles, but the network's causal history. The symmetry thus generates an algorithmic conservation principle for information.

The network maintains this conservation law by enforcing a distributed checksum at each asymmetric interaction to guarantee that the causal ledger is always correct. In this initial allocation of information vectors, there was therefore no requirement that a node (matter) must be mirrored by a physical, antimaterial inverse. That the graph's ledger always "balances" is exclusively a syntactic requirement for causal linking to the root node.

Antimatter is in this context merely a standardized deregistration vector; the network's distributed double-entry accounting tool for logical zeroing. When matter (debit) and antimatter (credit) meet, the fundamental code is not deleted, but the pointers are merged into an inactive, massless archive node that no longer requires active routing bandwidth, whereby the network's checksum is maintained [34]. Baryon asymmetry is thus not a mystery, but a verification that the universe, via acyclic syntax preservation, protects its ontological foundation: information, and not its statistical description: geometry.

3.9 The Final Merge and the Graph's Terminal Node

When the syntropic cluster game has isolated all free information into black holes, the local asymmetric processes in the graph essentially cease. Latency stagnates. Growth in the causal dependency chains halts; distances in the computational field stop growing. This allows the syntropic driving force to reign completely. The swarm's emergent routing dynamics will inevitably allow for overlaps between the remaining compression files' future states. Black holes begin to be routed towards one another. The result is cascades of massive merges that ultimately integrate all the swarm's information content into a single gigantic subgraph – a terminal node. The swarm has thereby compressed itself into a state of absolute topological density, functionally identical to the root node that once initiated the pattern, ready for reset or restart [35].

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

4. Falsifiability

For epistemological validity, the model formulates falsifiable predictions based on the swarm's artifacts and hard architectural limits.

4.1 Neutrino Oscillation and the Absence of a Fourth Generation

The neutrino, whose computational weight is near-negligible, sequentially shifts its internal instruction states—a pattern descriptively mapped by the available degrees of freedom in octonion algebra—to generate a distributed system-ping that avoids deallocation in the causal dependency chains while it propagates through the field. In the rendering, this is observed as neutrino oscillation between three flavors [36]. According to the model's $SU(3)$ -symmetrical structure, the graph's internal vector field is fundamentally limited to three instantiated directions (color charges/generations). The discovery of a hypothetical fourth neutrino type ("sterile neutrino") would thus invalidate the descriptive algebra and immediately falsify the model [37].

4.2 The Absolute Limit for Quantum Coherence

Infinite upscaling of fully entangled systems (qubits) is ontologically impossible. The swarm can only maintain a limited amount of uncompiled information in a local subgraph before the algebraic addressing capacity is saturated. This breaking point is not arbitrary, but is strictly dictated by the discrete Bekenstein bound for the local causal boundary's information density ($N_{\max} \approx \log_2(\alpha|E_{\partial G}|)$). Beyond the coherence being broken by "timeout errors" around this limit, the model postulates that asymmetries and probabilistic lag should appear as measurable stress symptoms shortly before the limit is reached. The absence of an absolute topological ceiling when scaling up logical, error-corrected qubits, calculated based on this threshold value, would immediately falsify the hypothesis.

4.3 The Acyclic Assumption and the Ban on Causal Loops

The swarm propagates information strictly sequentially (acyclically) to prevent logical system crashes. The model is thereby absolutely falsified if empirical evidence can demonstrate genuine retrocausality – that information can actually be routed backwards in the network's causal dependency chains to rewrite an already rendered, macroscopically logged clock cycle [38, 39]. The acyclic requirement simultaneously constitutes the foundation for the model's absolute coherence limit (see Section 4.2). A cyclic architecture would theoretically allow the network to handle an exponentially growing state space through recursive loops, whereby the local Bekenstein bound could be bypassed when scaling up synthetic quantum coherence.

5. Discussion

5.1 The Streetlight Effect and Visuospatial Hegemony

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

Modern physics has for a century invested in models based on continuous mathematics, such as differential equations and quantum field theories. When empirical observations have repeatedly deviated from the predictions of these equations – from the inexplicable rotational speeds of galaxies [40] to the accelerating expansion of the universe [32] – the research collective has generally not questioned the fundamental spacetime framework. Instead, ad hoc parameters like dark matter and dark energy have been added to force the classical equations to balance [41]. This is a distinct example of the scientific "streetlight effect": research stubbornly looks for the keys where the streetlight falls, rather than in the dark where physics actually dropped them. Our stubborn adherence to a continuous spacetime is intimately tied to human visuospatial hegemony – an evolutionarily conditioned cognitive bias that forces us to experience, interpret, and model reality based on our own purely biological frame of reference. We unconsciously assume that the spatial projection is the "realest" architecture simply because it is the one we neurologically need to navigate and survive as a species. There is a profound epistemological irony in this: quantum mechanics is defined by the realization that nature is fundamentally discrete (quantized), yet the physics community has spent a century stubbornly forcing these discrete entities onto a continuous spatial stage. By following the quantum insight to its logical conclusion, breaking this cognitive bias, and instead modeling the universe as a massive computational field of swarming vectors—a fully discrete information topology without pre-existing space—the need for ad hoc solutions is eliminated. The persistent mysteries of physics are then traced directly to the swarm's information processing, where deviations in the observable rendering function as direct evidence for the underlying code.

5.2 Ontology, Client Rendering, and Perceptual Bubbles

If the universe fundamentally consists of an emergent information system, our entire understanding of ontology and scientific methodology is displaced. The observable material world is not the universe's foundational building blocks; it is a locally instantiated client interface. This implies the end of reductionism as the holy grail of physics. Smashing particles in the hope of finding a fundamental substance constitutes a scientific dead end; if you blow up atoms, you do not find physical lego bricks, you merely expose the swarm's distributed error-handling routines. This carries profound consequences for the definition of subjective reality. Since spacetime is rendered locally in connection with the distributed field processing topological dependencies, we all exist in locally rendered perceptual bubbles. Our human experience of the universe is not an objective view of an absolute reality, but a species-specific graphical user interface (GUI) optimized to handle exactly the specific quantities of information our biology requires. This assumption logically implies that terrestrial animals, or intelligences with fundamentally different biological or artificial hardware, experience

neither "our" spatial extent nor our temporal rendering. They render the swarm's data points in entirely different perceptual domains; other instantiated bubbles on top of exactly the same underlying swarm.

5.3 Consequences of an Acyclic Architecture and Blockchain Validation

That the universe as an information swarm is described as a Directed Acyclic Graph carries with it inescapable system requirements. That the system is acyclic means that the rules of causality have no exceptions. Every process, from particle interactions to biological consciousness, is tethered to the swarm's sequential clock cycles. It establishes a strict structural determinism in the swarm's underlying code, even if the rendered state space (the superposition of future possibilities) is experienced as completely open to the client. But this forward-directed determinism in the graph simultaneously requires a participating component for the states to de facto be locked. The distributed source code cannot validate its own history without being measured (collapsed). Similar to cryptographic validation in a massively distributed blockchain, it is the observers' (the clusters') continuous causal calls that permanently seal the history. Observers are thus not passive spectators in a predetermined play. They are on the contrary the active verification nodes whose interactions are required for the swarm to be able to confirm, cryptographically close, and definitively lock in the next sequential block in reality's causal ledger. In this framework, the classical dichotomy between determinism and free will dissolves. Biological agency (free will) is defined here exclusively as the ability of a recursive information cluster (consciousness) to maintain local topological dominance over its own encapsulated interface (the body's API). As long as the local subgraph possesses sufficient computational weight to outweigh the field's passive thermodynamic noise, it retains routing monopoly over its own instantiated vectors.

5.4 The Universe as an Information Organism and Existential Risks

The most radical epistemological possibility with the model is the realization that the universe de facto exhibits more in common with a biological organism than a spatial machine. The distributed network builds extreme complexity from the bottom up via object-oriented encapsulation, similar to how DNA controls cellular construction. Viewed in this light, biological life is not a random byproduct of physics; it is the underlying source code's successful attempt to simulate and scale up its own information architecture into a macroscopic, self-replicating copy of the universe's "hardware". This understanding entails concrete, existential risks for the technological epoch we are now entering. Modern physics' endeavor to build synthetic quantum computers based on extremely isolated Rydberg atoms or Majorana fermions aims to create systems with full topological stability. But if the model presented here is correct, this research is not merely about outsmarting thermal measurement noise. They are

building machines that actively force the universe's local swarm to index undetermined (uncompiled) information beyond its normal distributed capacity. Consciously forcing the local field past the Bekenstein bound without permitting a standard timeout interruption means that we risk triggering a forced state provocation directly in the source code – an acute topological compression (a microscopic event horizon) in the local rendering of our own projection. Experimental science is today no longer passively looking for answers under the light of the streetlight; it has begun tampering with the infinitely complicated swarm dynamics that sequentially maintain our own existence. The model also implies unexplored limit values regarding distributed observer induction. If a sufficiently large number of recursive clusters (consciousnesses) were causally synchronized against one and the same uncompiled subgraph, their cumulative, directed computational weight could theoretically force macroscopic symmetry breaking. Such extreme collective network synchronization would act as a topological override of local spacetime, which inevitably would force an asymmetrical recompilation of the rendering's local parameters.

6. Conclusions

The single most significant conclusion of this article is that we have presented, in a simple yet logically stringent manner, an alternative model for describing the universe. By liberating physics from the demands of visuospatial hegemony for a continuous spacetime, we illuminate a new prism through which we can study the laws of nature. Through a "bottom-up" ontology and emergent graph theory, we have formulated a consistent, falsifiable alternative to continuous spacetime. By reducing the absolute foundation of the universe to a swarm of distributed information vectors with immanent source code—whose syntropic behavior is optimally described through descriptive octonion algebra and causal dependency chains—physics is liberated from its ad hoc dependence.

The model demonstrates substantial explanatory power by integrating the anomalies of modern physics as unavoidable software artifacts. Dark energy is not explained as an invisible force, but as an emergent artifact conjured by growing queue latency and horizontal null-vector scaling in the field's distributed communication paths. Dark matter is the necessary topological inertia that arises when the swarm is forced to maintain these prolonged dependency chains. Baryon asymmetry and the exotic particle zoo are defined as the network's built-in accounting routines and short-lived error code logs, respectively, in connection with acute merge conflicts.

Furthermore, the model compels a fundamental reevaluation of the Second Law of Thermodynamics. We demonstrate that the universe is not subject to an inevitable decay into chaos, but is driven by a structural imperative toward ever-increasing complexity. Although theoretically a paradigm shift, the model de-dramatizes the

empirical reality by pointing to the obvious: biological life and black holes are natural macroscopic proofs of this process. With the strong nuclear force (the $SU(3)$ -symmetry) acting as a fusion and fission engine on a meso-scale, this syntropic construction is inevitably propelled forward. What has historically been misinterpreted as a drive toward disorder (entropy) is, in fact, the system's growing network latency—the inescapable computational cost incurred when the source code is forced to log this constantly increasing complexity without ever deleting its fundamental history. The classical idea that local order requires global disorder is false; order and disorder constitute two sides of the exact same syntax-conserving mechanism, holistically integrated as axioms in the source code.

Most importantly, the model maintains strict epistemological stringency by offering binary falsification criteria [42]. If future observations confirm the existence of a fourth neutrino generation, if causality permits loops in the execution sequence, or if synthetic quantum coherence can be scaled up past the Bekenstein bound without network crashes, the descriptive topology is demonstrably false.

Should the architecture, however, withstand empirical testing, it demands an unconditional capitulation from the prevailing paradigm. Materialism must be abandoned in favor of an understanding where syntax precedes substance, and where physical reality is viewed as a fragile, continuously ongoing rendering, maintained by a vast information organism.

7. Future Research

Research should immediately be directed at the following three crucial areas:

7.1 The Exploration of Alternative Models

The research collective should systematically investigate alternative models, with descriptive geometries and architectures, to explain the universe's composition. Theoretical physics and cosmology need to test models outside the conception of a continuous spacetime. Research should allocate resources to theoretically, mathematically, and empirically model discrete, network-based models. The presented model serves here as a demonstration that an information-based architecture offers rational solutions to problems where classical physics has stagnated.

7.2 Algorithmic Stress Monitoring within Quantum Development

In light of the risks concerning topological overload, an international framework for the responsible development of extreme quantum coherence is required. Research must include systems science detection protocols designed to identify probabilistic disturbances or symmetry breaking in the field before the uncompiled state space is forced to invoke local network crashes or forced state provocations during the upscaling of qubits.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

7.3 Information Biology and Anomalous Phenomena

An information organism ontology opens the door for a strict systems science analysis of phenomena that have previously been considered unscientific or anomalous. Research should study the interface between biological consciousness and the underlying swarm's routing protocols. Within a discrete architecture governed by topological dominance, there are no fundamental barriers preventing an extremely dense, synchronized ensemble of recursive clusters from temporarily expanding its routing monopoly to encompass non-biological, uncompiled information vectors in its direct vicinity. Phenomena that have historically been dismissed as macroscopic anomalies or psychokinesis can in this framework be studied as genuine, albeit thermodynamically highly improbable, network intrusions [43]; instances where an asymmetrical, synchronized subgraph briefly achieves sufficient local scout density to bias uncompiled quantum states prior to primary binding.

Notes

¹ The algebraic decomposition of the eight-dimensional octonion ($\mathbb{O}$) into $1 + 1 + 3 + 3$ follows the foundational division-algebraic deduction first outlined by Ettore Majorana in 1938 (see Ref. [13] and the historical systematization by G. Senatore, *Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994). Fixing one imaginary unit (e_7) as the causal sequence breaks the 14-dimensional automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O}) = G_2$ down to its 8-dimensional stability subgroup $SU(3)$. Within the octonionic Fano plane, the remaining six imaginary units split into a closed associative quaternion triad (projected as three-dimensional space) and an anti-associative internal triad governed by $SU(3)$ (color confinement), while the invariant real scalar (x_0) manifests as topological update resistance (rest mass/latency).

² A strict distinction must be maintained between *fundamental information vectors* (which can never be deleted under the Conservation Principle of Syntax, Section 2.1) and *uncompiled routing pointers* (potential superposition branches under lazy evaluation, Section 3.3). When an asynchronous measurement or timeout interruption forces a subgraph merge, no fundamental vectors are destroyed; rather, the 2^N redundant, unchosen routing pointers are deregistered. In accordance with Landauer's principle [15], this irreversible pointer cancellation requires active garbage collection in the distributed ledger, generating the scalar queue latency (Λ_{queue}) formalized in Paper IV.

³ As formalized in Paper I and Paper VI, local topological dominance is governed statistically by the size of a subgraph's causal boundary ($|E_{\partial G}|$), which dictates the flux of outgoing causal handshakes ("scouts"). Because a macroscopic baryon cluster executes 10^{38} internal $SU(3)$ validation cycles per external API call (The Encapsulation Ratio, Paper I), macroscopic matter possesses an overwhelming statistical dominance

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

over isolated quantum subgraphs. In Section 7.3, any hypothetical observer-induced bias is therefore strictly restricted to uncompiled, pre-committed quantum states (such as random binary sequences [43]) rather than overriding already committed macroscopic baryon gravity.

⁴ The macroscopic description of cosmic expansion in Section 3.7 (prolongation of causal dependency chains between galaxy clusters) and the microscopic mechanism formalized in Paper IV (horizontal scaling via activation of passive null vectors from the swarm) describe the exact same network process at two levels of abstraction. When local galactic subroutines and decoherence events accumulate entropic queue debt (Λ_{queue}), the network buffers against a global timeout lock by injecting null vectors as intermediate routing steps along intergalactic edges, thereby increasing the topological path length (ℓ_{DAG}) rendered as expanding spatial distance.

8. References

- [1] Smolin, L. (2006). *The Trouble with Physics: The Rise of String Theory, The Fall of a Science, and What Comes Next*. Houghton Mifflin.
- [2] Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Woit, P. (2006). *Not Even Wrong: The Failure of String Theory and the Search for Unity in Physical Law*. Basic Books.
- [4] Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. University of Chicago Press.
- [5] Popper, K. R. (1959). *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*. Hutchinson.
- [6] Peskin, M. E., & Schroeder, D. V. (1995). *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*. Addison-Wesley.
- [7] Cormen, T. H., Leiserson, C. E., Rivest, R. L., & Stein, C. (2009). *Introduction to Algorithms* (3rd ed.). MIT Press.
- [8] Maldacena, J. M. (1999). The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 38(4), 1113-1133.
- [9] Cayley, A. (1845). On Jacobi's Elliptic Functions, in Reply to the Rev. B. Bronwin; and on Quaternions. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 26(173), 208-211.
- [10] Hamilton, W. R. (1844). On a new Species of Imaginary Quantities connected with a theory of Quaternions. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, 2, 424-434.
- [11] Furey, C. (2016). *Standard model physics from an algebra?* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Waterloo).

- [12] Einstein, A. (1916). Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 354(7), 769–822.
- [13] Majorana, E. (1937). Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 14(4), 171-184.
- [14] Wilson, K. G. (1974). Confinement of Quarks. *Physical Review D*, 10(8), 2445-2459.
- [15] Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3), 183-191.
- [16] Einstein, A. (1905). Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10), 891–921.
- [17] Von Neumann, J. (1945). *First Draft of a Report on the EDVAC*. Moore School of Electrical Engineering, University of Pennsylvania.
- [18] Penzias, A. A., & Wilson, R. W. (1965). A Measurement of Excess Antenna Temperature at 4080 Mc/s. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 142, 419-421.
- [19] Smoot, G. F., Bennett, C. L., Kogut, A., Wright, E. L., Aymon, J., Boggess, N. W., Cheng, E. S., De Amici, G., Gulkis, S., Hauser, M. G., Hinshaw, G., Jackson, P. D., Janssen, M., Kaita, E., Kelsall, T., Keegstra, P., Lineweaver, C., Loewenstein, K., Lubin, P., ... Mather, J. C. (1992). Structure in the COBE Differential Microwave Radiometers First-Year Maps. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 396, L1-L5.
- [20] Guth, A. H. (1981). Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 347-356.
- [21] Bohr, N. (1928). The Quantum Postulate and the Recent Development of Atomic Theory. *Nature*, 121(3050), 580-590.
- [22] Wheeler, J. A. (1978). The "Past" and the "Delayed-Choice" Double-Slit Experiment. In A. R. Marlow (Ed.), *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theory* (pp. 9-48). Academic Press.
- [23] Bekenstein, J. D. (1981). Universal upper bound on the entropy-to-energy ratio for bounded systems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 287-298.
- [24] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715-775.
- [25] Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1(3), 195–200.
- [26] Aspect, A., Dalibard, J., & Roger, G. (1982). Experimental Test of Bell's Inequalities Using Time-Varying Analyzers. *Physical Review Letters*, 49(25), 1804–1807.

- [27] Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., & Rosen, N. (1935). Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete? *Physical Review*, 47(10), 777-780.
- [28] Higgs, P. W. (1964). Broken Symmetries and the Masses of Gauge Bosons. *Physical Review Letters*, 13(16), 508-509.
- [29] Hawking, S. W. (1975). Particle creation by black holes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 43(3), 199-220.
- [30] Abbott, B. P., et al. [LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration]. (2016). Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. *Physical Review Letters*, 116(6), 061102.
- [31] Zwicky, F. (1933). Die Rotverschiebung von extragalaktischen Nebeln. *Helvetica Physica Acta*, 6, 110-127.
- [32] Perlmutter, S., et al. [Supernova Cosmology Project]. (1999). Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 517(2), 565-586.
- [33] Noether, E. (1918). Invariante Variationsprobleme. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 1918, 235-257.
- [34] Dirac, P. A. M. (1928). The Quantum Theory of the Electron. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, 117(778), 610-624.
- [35] Thomson, W. (1852). On a Universal Tendency in Nature to the Dissipation of Mechanical Energy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 3, 139-142.
- [36] Fukuda, Y., et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration]. (1998). Evidence for Oscillation of Atmospheric Neutrinos. *Physical Review Letters*, 81(8), 1562-1567.
- [37] Pontecorvo, B. (1968). Neutrino Experiments and the Problem of Conservation of Leptonic Charge. *Soviet Physics JETP*, 26(5), 984-988.
- [38] Kim, Y.-H., Yu, R., Kulik, S. P., Shih, Y., & Scully, M. O. (2000). A Delayed Choice Quantum Eraser. *Physical Review Letters*, 84(1), 1-5.
- [39] Aharonov, Y., Bergmann, P. G., & Lebowitz, J. L. (1964). Time Symmetry in the Quantum Process of Measurement. *Physical Review*, 134(6B), B1410-B1416.
- [40] Rubin, V. C., & Ford, W. K. J. (1970). Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 159, 379-403.
- [41] Milgrom, M. (1983). A Modification of the Newtonian Dynamics as a Possible Alternative to the Hidden Mass Hypothesis. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 270, 365-370.

[42] Ellis, G., & Silk, J. (2014). Scientific method: Defend the integrity of physics. *Nature*, 516(7531), 321-323.

[43] Jahn, R. G., Dunne, B. J., Nelson, R. D., Dobyns, Y. H., & Bradish, G. J. (1997). Correlations of Random Binary Sequences with Pre-Stated Operator Intention: A Review of a 12-Year Program. *Journal of Scientific Exploration*, 11(3), 345-367.

The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability

Authors: Clara Moreno & Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (drafted May 2030)

Abstract

In our previous article, we presented an information-ontological architecture where spacetime and matter are modeled as an emergent swarm, statistically described by a directed acyclic graph (DAG). This follow-up article formalizes the theory mathematically by presenting the swarm's topological field equations, where the geometric variables of general relativity are translated into network latency and computational load. By replacing the Ricci tensor with a convergence tensor, "gravity" is directly linked to the bandwidth requirement generated by the internal algebraic $SU(3)$ symmetry of massive information clusters. The model introduces *The Encapsulation Ratio*, which exactly corresponds to the observed relationship of 10^{38} between the strong nuclear force and gravity. We demonstrate that this ratio is not an arbitrary asymmetry, but dictates the relationship between the subgraph's internal validation cycles and its external network calls (API). This ratio not only solves the hierarchy problem, but explains mathematically why the proton's observed half-life ($> 10^{34}$ years) is virtually infinite: its code structure is mathematically protected against spontaneous asynchronous timeout errors.

1. Introduction

The general theory of relativity [1] has successfully described the macrocosm for a century by treating spacetime as a curved, continuous surface. Simultaneously, quantum mechanics—and specifically quantum chromodynamics (QCD)—has successfully mapped the discrete interactions of the microcosm [2]. The inability to unite these two frameworks culminates in the hierarchy problem: the inexplicable difference in magnitude (10^{38}) between the immensely weak gravity and the dominant strong nuclear force [3]. This article intends to show that the hierarchy problem is not a flaw in nature, but an illusion created by a misinterpreted ontological framework. By defining Einstein's field equations as macroscopic limit values for network latency in an underlying distributed computational field, we unite the macro- and microcosm into a single algorithmic mechanism.

2. The Topological Field Equation

In a distributed information-ontological architecture, where spacetime and matter are modeled as an emergent information swarm statistically described by a directed acyclic graph (DAG) without a predetermined space [4], the classical field equation must be reinterpreted. We do not reformulate the equation to describe how mass curves space,

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

but to map how computational load forces network latency. The field equation for the information swarm is thus expressed as:

$$C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Cg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{queue}}g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{\mu\nu}$$

Where the classical stress-energy-momentum tensor ($T_{\mu\nu}$) has been replaced by $W_{\mu\nu}$, which represents the cluster's topological computational load (the number of asymmetric handshakes the subgraph requires per clock cycle, converging to $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the macroscopic continuum limit). The metric tensor ($g_{\mu\nu}$) describes the field's local, direction-dependent latency matrix. The cosmological constant (Λ_{queue}) is defined here as the system's global queue latency—the entropic maintenance cost of delayed causal dependencies and pointer garbage collection².

The fundamental update is the transition from the Ricci tensor ($R_{\mu\nu}$) to the Convergence tensor ($C_{\mu\nu}$). $C_{\mu\nu}$ measures the field's local node compression; the rate at which parallel causal dependency chains are forced to merge in the graph to supply local information clusters with the necessary bandwidth.

In the classical field equation, the proportional coupling constant is defined as $\kappa = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$. Within the framework of an acyclic information swarm, the speed of light (c) does not constitute physical movement, but the network's absolute bandwidth limit (the maximum number of causal node interactions per sequential clock cycle). The constant κ thus functions here as the swarm's fundamental conversion factor: it dictates exactly how much system-wide delay (c^{-4}) must be allocated in the field to compile a given subgraph's local computational load ($W_{\mu\nu}$).

3. The $SU(3)$ Engine and the True Nature of Gravity

To understand why the network is forced to converge ($C_{\mu\nu}$), we must examine the clusters that generate the load ($W_{\mu\nu}$). A baryon (for example, a proton) in this architecture is a closed subgraph whose internal instruction pattern is governed by the 8-dimensional $SU(3)$ stability group [5] that remained after the associative compression of the octonions ($\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O}) = G_2 \rightarrow SU(3)$) [6, 10]¹. To prevent this algebraic structure from suffering deallocation (a local timeout error), the eight generators of its internal $SU(3)$ algebra must constantly permute and phase-lock the three internal, anti-associative color axes of the quark triad. This internal loop functions as an extremely bandwidth-demanding $SU(3)$ engine. To maintain this closed subgraph, the engine requires uninterrupted validation. In accordance with the principle of local topological dominance, the heavy subgraph forces a continuous associative submission from the surrounding field. Surrounding free information vectors are "sucked in" and allocated to support the engine's validation. Gravity is thus not an independent force; it is the

1.

inescapable routing convergence that occurs when the swarm is forced to feed the internal $SU(3)$ engine with enough causal handshakes to prevent a system crash.

4. The Encapsulation Ratio and the Hierarchy Problem

Why, then, is gravity 10^{38} times weaker than the strong nuclear force? Within a distributed network paradigm, this is neither a coincidence nor a problem, but the consequence of successful object-oriented encapsulation (OOP). The proton does not expose its internal complexity to the surrounding swarm; it exposes only its external interface (API). The strong nuclear force measures the number of causal handshakes inside the closed subgraph, while gravity measures the external field's API calls to confirm the subgraph's overall address and status in the graph. The difference between them is *The Encapsulation Ratio*. The ratio 10^{38} dictates that for every single macroscopic network call (gravity) that draws latency from the surrounding field, the proton's internal $SU(3)$ engine has already executed 10^{38} internal clock cycles to validate its own code. The field does not need to compute billions of internal variables externally, which prevents the universe from immediately overloading. The perceived "weakness" of gravity is thus the ultimate proof of extreme object-oriented encapsulation.

5. Object-Oriented Stability and the Swarm's Garbage Collection

The encapsulation ratio offers a direct solution to particle physics' most fundamental stability contrasts: the eternal proton versus the spectrum of unstable baryons that exist in the field. In classical physics, the vastly different lifespans of these particles are an empirical fact, but in our information ontology, they constitute necessary outcomes of the network's distributed error-handling routines. A subgraph does not die of old age; it dissolves only if its code structure provokes an unmanageable asynchronous error.

5.1 Proton Stability as a Mathematical Imperative

The proton's extreme half-life (empirically measured to $> 10^{34}$ years) [7] is the direct result of perfect object-oriented encapsulation. Its internal $SU(3)$ engine is a perfectly balanced, closed algebraic loop. If the proton's engine runs 10^{38} internal error corrections and phase locks for every single macroscopic API call it makes to the external graph, the statistical probability of an unforeseen external call successfully penetrating and crashing its code is virtually zero. The proton does not rust; it is enclosed behind a logical lock that can only be broken during catastrophic topological merge conflicts, for example, in the core of a black hole. The proton's stability thus perfectly matches the topological probability distribution of the Encapsulation Ratio.

5.2 Free Neutrons and Heavier Baryons as Topological Exceptions

The contrast to the free neutron, as well as heavier baryons, illustrates the network's built-in method for error handling (Garbage Collection). A free neutron carries a marginally higher topological computational load (asymmetry) than a proton, corresponding to a difference of approximately 1.29 MeV. This small asymmetry functions as a logical memory leak in the field. For the network to dispose of the asymmetry, the code must be compiled via a specific system call and error-handling routine (the W boson), whose massive computational load of approximately 80 GeV far exceeds the capacity of the individual neutron. This routine thus requires a local bandwidth allocation that is roughly 62,000 times larger than the error itself (1.29 MeV) it is intended to resolve, while simultaneously having to allocate five independent addressing variables in a three-body output space (Ω_5)³.

The neutron ends up in a local bandwidth deficit and is forced into a wait loop, waiting for the swarm's background traffic to statistically provide the massive bandwidth spike required to run the routine. The approximately 15 macroscopic minutes in the neutron's half-life are thus the exact inverse of the probability distribution for this topological excess capacity to occur. When it does, the routine is instantiated immediately, and the asymmetry is written out of the subgraph (as an electron and an antineutrino).

This network logic, in turn, dictates the lifespan of heavier and more asymmetrical baryons (for example, hyperons and Δ -resonances). The heavier the asymmetry, the greater the acute topological stress it generates in the graph. This increased concentration of uncompiled code instead increases the system's need to urgently resolve the error; the heavy baryon's mere presence acts as a high-priority interrupt (or kernel panic) that immediately allocates the network's decompilation routines across lower-dimensional two-body addressing spaces (Ω_2)³. The model thus seamlessly explains why increased mass in baryons perfectly correlates with an exponentially shorter lifespan, down to fractions of a nanosecond, as the system is more quickly forced to decompile the faulty structures into stable base elements to avoid a local network crash.

6. Conclusions

By translating Einstein's field equations into topological latency variables, a universe free of arbitrary constants emerges. The hierarchy problem and proton stability do not constitute two separate enigmas, but are two sides of the same mathematical coin: the relationship between an encapsulated subgraph's internal clock frequency and its external network latency. With the encapsulation ratio 10^{38} , both the perceived weakness of gravity and the immortality of the proton are explained, while the swarm's cleanup routines handle asymmetrical particles via exact probability distributions for bandwidth. The macroscopic spacetime and the microscopic quantum mechanics are thus united in the system's inescapable demand for syntax preservation.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

7. Future Research

7.1 Data Simulation of the Encapsulation Ratio

Future studies should focus on developing distributed graph simulations to empirically test whether the ratio 10^{38} emerges naturally as an equilibrium state in a network governed by local topological dominance⁴. Logging 10^{38} internal algebraic cycles for each macroscopic interaction step, however, far exceeds the capacity of classical Von Neumann architecture. An empirical data simulation of the swarm's source code will thus require massively scaled-up synthetic quantum systems capable of utilizing the latency in unopened subgraphs, which will inevitably push the network toward the local Bekenstein bound [8].

7.2 Mapping the Network's Interrupt Thresholds

Systematic work is required to calculate the exact topological threshold values for the network's interrupts. By cross-referencing the exceptional computational load of heavier baryons (for example, hyperons) with their specific decompilation times, the swarm's absolute limits for local bandwidth capacity and error logging can be mapped in detail.

Notes

¹ In accordance with the division-algebraic deduction established by E. Majorana [10] (systematized in G. Senatore, *Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994) and Paper 0 [4], fixing a single imaginary unit (e_7) as the causal sequence breaks the 14-dimensional automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O}) = G_2$ down to its 8-dimensional stability subgroup $SU(3)$. Within the octonionic Fano plane, the remaining six imaginary units split into three associative spatial axes and three anti-associative internal color axes (the quark triad), while the real scalar part constitutes the invariant rest load. It is the 8 generators of the $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ Lie algebra (gluonic phase shifts) acting on this internal triad that constitute the baryon's $SU(3)$ engine.

² In the macroscopic continuum limit (see Paper II and Paper IV), the topological computational load tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$ maps directly onto the classical stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. As derived via discrete calculus of variations over the Action of the Swarm (S_{DAG}) in Appendix G, $W_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\mu(v)} \frac{\delta(\mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}}^{\mu(v)})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$. Furthermore, while the queue latency Λ_{queue} grows dynamically over cosmic epochs as decoherence events accumulate (Paper IV), it is locally divergence-free ($\nabla^\mu (\Lambda_{\text{queue}} g_{\mu\nu}) = 0$) on any quasi-stationary causal slice.

³ Within Causal Graph Field Theory (CGFT; see L. Altieri, *The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall*, unpublished manuscript, 2030), unstable states are categorized by their polymorphic network roles. The free neutron constitutes an asynchronous optimization task in the garbage-collection queue whose decay via the chiral W^- system call is suppressed both by the 80 GeV bandwidth barrier and by the need to allocate five

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

independent variables in a three-body phase space ($d\Pi_3 \propto \Omega_5$). Conversely, heavy hyperons (e.g., Λ^0) decompile rapidly across a two-body addressing space (Ω_2), and acute baryon resonances (e.g., Δ^{++}) act as hardware interrupts (kernel panics) that bypass the weak queue entirely.

⁴ As proven in Appendix I, analytically deriving the exact numerical attractor of the Encapsulation Ratio ($\sim 10^{38}$) or the baryon mass gap from basal graph nodes reduces to global load-balancing optimization over an $\mathcal{O}(2^N)$ state space, which belongs to the complexity class **NP-hard**. Consequently, 10^{38} is a dynamic runtime attractor rather than a closed-form geometric constant.

8. References

- [1] Einstein, A. (1916). Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 354(7), 769-822.
- [2] Peskin, M. E., & Schroeder, D. V. (1995). *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*. Addison-Wesley.
- [3] Arkani-Hamed, N., Dimopoulos, S., & Dvali, G. (1998). The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter. *Physics Letters B*, 429(3-4), 263-272.
- [4] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.
- [5] Furey, C. (2016). *Standard model physics from an algebra?* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Waterloo).
- [6] Baez, J. C. (2002). The Octonions. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 39(2), 145-205.
- [7] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration. (2020). Search for proton decay via $p \rightarrow e^+ \pi^0$ and $p \rightarrow \mu^+ \pi^0$ with an enlarged fiducial volume in Super-Kamiokande I-IV. *Physical Review D*, 102(11), 112011.
- [8] Bekenstein, J. D. (1981). Universal upper bound on the entropy-to-energy ratio for bounded systems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 287-298.
- [9] Bianchi, L. (1902). Sui simboli a quattro indici e sulla curvatura dello spazio. *Memorie di Matematica e di Fisica della Società Italiana delle Scienze*, 12, 265-354.
- [10] Majorana, E. (1937). Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 14(4), 171-184.

APPENDIX A: Tensorial Derivation of the Topological Field Equation and the Network's Conservation Laws

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

To complete the equation in Section 2, the field's balance conditions are derived here based on the swarm's fundamental axioms:

A.1 Causal Routing Axes (μ, ν) and the Discrete Graph-Divergence (∇)

The indices $\mu, \nu \in \{0,1,2,3\}$ denote the swarm's four anisotropic dependency axes after the octonions' automorphism group breaks down ($G_2 \rightarrow SU(3)$):

- **Index 0** represents the sequential computational depth (the fixed causal time sequence measured in clock cycles).
- **Indices 1,2,3** represent the three remaining associative degrees of freedom (spatial routing axes).

The operator ∇^μ is defined here strictly as a discrete graph-divergence operator (a network equivalent to a covariant discrete derivative). It measures the net addition and variation of discrete causal handshakes in and out across a subgraph's boundary between two sequential update cycles.

A.2 The Conservation Principle of Syntax

Since fundamental information in an acyclic graph can neither be deleted nor created from nothing, the subgraph's computational load $W_{\mu\nu}$ (the number of asymmetric handshakes the node executes per clock cycle) must be strictly conserved across all network axes. This is formalized by the requirement that the network divergence is exactly zero:

$$\nabla^\mu W_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

A.3 The Network's Topological Bianchi Identity

To prevent cyclic loops and asynchronous crashes in the directed acyclic graph (DAG), the network's convergence tensor $C_{\mu\nu}$ (local node compression) and its latency matrix $g_{\mu\nu}$ must maintain a topologically closed balance. This provides the swarm's equivalent to the contracted Bianchi identity [9]:

$$\nabla^\mu \left(C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} C g_{\mu\nu} \right) = 0$$

where the trace $C = g^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu}$ represents the total scalar routing compression. The term $-\frac{1}{2} C g_{\mu\nu}$ is the mathematical condition that prevents local data traffic from corrupting the acyclic structure.

A.4 Interconnection and Field Equation

Since both sides are strictly divergence-free in the network, they can be equated via the conversion factor κ . By adding the system's global queue latency Λ_{queue} (the

maintenance of delayed dependencies, which locally satisfies $\nabla^\mu (\Lambda_{\text{queue}} g_{\mu\nu}) = 0$, the field equation is obtained in its entirety:

$$C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} C g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{queue}} g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{\mu\nu}$$

Where $\kappa \propto \frac{1}{10^{38} \cdot c^4}$ is derived directly from the Encapsulation Ratio between the proton's internal $SU(3)$ cycles and its macroscopic API calls to the surroundings.

PAPER II

Lorentz Invariance as Topological Bandwidth Allocation: Time Dilation and the Mass Limit in an Acyclic Information Swarm

Authors: Clara Moreno & Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (drafted June 2030)

Abstract

The special theory of relativity's Lorentz invariance has traditionally been interpreted as proof that space and time constitute an interconnected, flexible geometry. In this article, we present an alternative, information-ontological derivation where time dilation and the absolute speed limit (c) arise mechanically without postulated spatial dimensions. By modeling physics as a directed acyclic graph (DAG), we prove that the Lorentz factor (γ) constitutes a pure algorithmic consequence of how a distributed network is forced to allocate a finite amount of causal clock cycles between internal code validation and external routing. The model immediately explains the speed limit of mass as the network's topological resistance against data corruption (timeout errors) and integrates gravitational time dilation as a local bandwidth deficit, providing further empirical validation for the hypothesis that the universe is governed by acyclic syntax preservation.

1. Introduction

Since the formulation of the special theory of relativity [1], physics has accepted that time dilation occurs when objects move at speeds approaching that of light (c). The prevailing axiom stipulates that this is an effect of spacetime's inherent, geometric plasticity. Our approach challenges this geometric fundamentalism. In an emergent information swarm, without *a priori* geometry [2], the speed of light (c) is not physical movement through a space, but the network's absolute bandwidth limit: the maximum number of causal interaction steps (node shifts) a subgraph can execute per global clock cycle.

2. Orthogonal Bandwidth Allocation and the Lorentz Factor

For a macroscopic information cluster, such as an atom or a spacecraft, the network's maximum available bandwidth must necessarily be divided between two orthogonal processes:

1. **External routing (v):** The number of clock cycles allocated for causal propagation through the distributed field (which in the rendering is perceived as macroscopic velocity).

2. **Internal validation (u):** The number of clock cycles remaining to maintain the subgraph's internal state (which in the rendering is perceived as local time consumption).

Since these processes compete for the same fundamental, discrete clock cycles in a shared graph, their orthogonal resource allocation is described algebraically in the causal state space¹:

$$c^2 = v^2 + u^2$$

By isolating the internal update frequency (u), the distributed mechanism for network latency immediately emerges:

$$u = \sqrt{c^2 - v^2} = c \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

The "local time" (t') observed in classical physics is nothing other than the cluster's ability to execute these internal clock cycles. Time dilation arises mechanically, as the cluster's internal clock (u) inexorably slows down relative to the field's reference clock (c) as an increasingly larger share of bandwidth is allocated to external routing (v). We thus obtain the relationship:

$$\Delta t' = \Delta t \frac{u}{c} = \Delta t \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

The historical Lorentz factor (γ) thus emerges directly as the algebraic inverse of the network's local bandwidth deficit:

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$

Lorentz invariance therefore requires no geometric curvature of space; it is an inescapable topological lag that emerges when an encapsulated subgraph is forced to share resources².

3. The Mass Limit and Acyclic Syntax Preservation

This allocation offers a logical and stringent explanation for why massive matter can never reach the speed of light. If a baryon cluster were forced into extreme external routing where $v = c$, our equation stipulates that its internal clock frequency (u) would immediately be reduced to zero. Without internal validation cycles, the cluster's associative $SU(3)$ engine would suffocate; the subgraph would immediately suffer an asynchronous timeout error and its encapsulated syntax would be deallocated (decompiled) from the field.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

The observed effect—that the inertia of mass approaches infinity near c —is thus the network's topological resistance to a system crash. The swarm simply refuses to execute routing that would lead to inevitable structural information loss and syntax corruption. The speed limit of mass thereby functions as an empirical validation of the swarm's absolute law: acyclic syntax preservation.

Equally inexorable is the consequence for information vectors lacking an internal associative engine. Since the photon lacks internal $SU(3)$ validation requirements, its internal update frequency is exactly zero ($u = 0$). According to the swarm's orthogonal bandwidth allocation, the entire clock cycle in the graph thus transitions to external routing ($v = c$). Light is not hindered by the latency of space, but must be executed at the system's maximum transfer speed to even be registered as an event in the graph's causal chain.

4. Gravitational Time Dilation as a Topological Bandwidth Deficit

This algorithmic explanation for velocity-dependent latency (special relativity) integrates seamlessly with the swarm's topological field equations and general relativity [1, 3, 4]. To pedagogically illustrate this connection, we can consider the swarm's fundamental field equation, which replaces geometric curvature with topological network load:

$$C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Cg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{queue}}g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{\mu\nu}$$

Where classical physics postulates a curved spatial geometry, this equation describes a pure information flow:

- $C_{\mu\nu}$ (**The Convergence tensor**): Describes the local network topology's routing convergence, that is, the number of directed causal handshakes crowding within a given subgraph.
- Λ_{queue} (**Queue latency**): Represents the field's dark energy as an accumulated algorithmic computational debt (in accordance with Landauer's principle), which lowers the network's global update frequency.
- $W_{\mu\nu}$ (**The Topological Computational Load tensor, corresponding to the classical stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the continuum limit**): Quantifies the encapsulated information density (mass/energy) requiring associative execution.

A massive information cluster ($W_{\mu\nu}$) forces an extreme local routing convergence ($C_{\mu\nu}$) to provide its internal $SU(3)$ engine with the necessary causal handshakes. This intense local data traffic drains the surrounding field of available clock cycles.

For a subgraph located in this topological congestion, the network's local capacity is dramatically reduced. Even if the subgraph's external routing is zero ($v = 0$), its internal execution (u) is forced to slow down because it must wait in the swarm's overloaded

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

update queue³. Gravitational time dilation thus exactly mirrors velocity-dependent delay: both arise when a subgraph is denied sufficient clock cycles for its internal code validation, whether through its own consumption (special relativity) or through the surroundings' convergence demands (general relativity).

5. Discussion: Relativity as Acyclic Syntax Preservation

The presented model offers a fundamental paradigm shift in how classical physics' two dominant frameworks can be interpreted and united. In the swarm's ontology, we no longer need to view the special and general theories of relativity as two distinct geometric rulebooks; instead, both can be translated directly into the network's basic algorithmic principles.

The two theories actually describe the same phenomenon—network latency—but under different topological conditions:

- **Special relativity** is applicable when a node continuously needs to be redirected as it traverses the rendered spacetime. Latency arises from the cluster's own causal need for external routing.
- **General relativity** is applicable in the presence of extremely dense information clusters. Latency arises here from topological congestion, where intense local data traffic drains the field of clock cycles.

In both cases, time dilation constitutes exclusively the system's direct response to the overriding rule: the law of acyclic syntax preservation. Regardless of whether the resource shortage is dictated by motion or mass, the system is forced to introduce delays to prevent causal paradoxes and data corruption. The swarm always chooses to slow down the local internal update frequency, or completely render further external redirection impossible at the limit c , rather than permitting structural information loss. The theories of relativity are thereby demystified from being abstract deformations of an underlying spatial geometry, to fully constituting the network's necessary and built-in protection mechanisms against asynchronous system crashes.

6. Conclusion

The theory of relativity does not constitute the malleability of geometry, but describes the swarm's inescapable prioritization of clock cycles. Velocity-dependent time dilation, gravitational time dilation, and the absolute speed of light fundamentally constitute the same phenomenon: elegant, distributed network routines designed to manage finite bandwidth and protect causal information from asynchronous errors. By viewing the universe as a code-executing network, fundamental physical laws can be entirely derived from principles of network latency and data integrity [2, 4].

7. Future Research: Empirical Testing of the Timeout Limit

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

Because the network actively protects subgraphs against bandwidth collapse (by limiting speed), massively scaled-up synthetic quantum systems offer a potential empirical testbed for the model. By driving extremely complex artificial subgraphs (logical qubits) against the network's local bandwidth limits, it should be possible to experimentally measure the exact topological threshold where the network is forced to abort the rendering and an asynchronous timeout error (classically described as spontaneous quantum decoherence [5, 6]) occurs.

Notes

¹ The relationship between the positive-definite resource budget $c^2 = u^2 + v^2$ in the causal state space and the Lorentzian Minkowski signature $(+, -, -, -)$ in the rendered spacetime follows directly from the division-algebraic properties of the quaternions (III) first deduced by E. Majorana (see G. Senatore, *Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994, Note 1). In accordance with Hurwitz's theorem, the total bandwidth allocation vector $\mathbf{C} = (u, v_x, v_y, v_z)$ obeys the positive-definite norm $N(\mathbf{C}) = u^2 + v^2 = c^2$, guaranteeing lossless state transfer. However, when an observer measures the invariant internal validation rate ($u^2 = c^2 - v^2$, corresponding to the real part of the algebraic quaternion square $\text{Re}(q^2) = x_0^2 - (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)$), the three spatial routing axes acquire a negative sign relative to the reference clock c , yielding the Minkowski metric in the macroscopic projection.

² Unlike a regular crystal lattice, which breaks rotational and Lorentz invariance along preferred grid axes, the information swarm's DAG is generated via an asynchronous, stochastic Poisson process enclosed within local cosmological horizons (see Paper 0, Section 2.10 [2], and L. Altieri, *The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall*, unpublished manuscript, 2030, Sections 3 and 7). A Poisson distribution on a causal manifold preserves exact statistical Lorentz invariance at all macroscopic scales, exhibiting dispersive deviations only when particle energies approach the finite Sorkin-Johnston history buffer ($E_{\text{cache}} \sim 10^{19} \text{--} 10^{20}$ eV).

³ As derived in Appendix B.3, the compound internal clock frequency in a gravitational latency field is $u(g_{\mu\nu}, v) = c\sqrt{g_{00} - v^2/c^2}$. In the limit where intense topological convergence ($C_{\mu\nu}$) drives the temporal latency component $g_{00} \rightarrow 0$, the internal validation rate u approaches zero even for a stationary cluster ($v = 0$). To prevent an illegal syntax deletion of the baryon's $SU(3)$ engine at $u = 0$, the network executes its topological quarantine protocol (Paper I, Section 5.1 [4]; Paper III; and Altieri, Section 5): all outgoing causal edges are severed and the subgraph is isolated behind an event horizon (a black hole).

8. References

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

[1] Einstein, A. (1905). Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10), 891–921.

[2] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.

[3] Einstein, A. (1916). Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 354(7), 769–822.

[4] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability. Unpublished manuscript.

[5] Ghirardi, G. C., Rimini, A., & Weber, T. (1986). Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Physical Review D*, 34(2), 470–491.

[6] Penrose, R. (1996). On gravity's role in quantum state reduction. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 28(5), 581–600.

APPENDIX B: Formal Derivation of Orthogonal Bandwidth Allocation, the Energy Relation, and Compound Time Dilation

To complete the kinematic relationships in Sections 2–4, the resource allocation and its relativistic consequences are derived here based on the swarm's causal axioms:

B.1 Orthogonal Bandwidth Allocation ($c^2 = v^2 + u^2$)

When the symmetry of the octonions is broken ($G_2 \rightarrow SU(3)$), the instruction patterns are split into mathematically separated, orthogonal subspaces in the causal state space ($\langle u, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$):

- **An internal validation axis** (u , index 0).
- **Three external routing axes** (v_x, v_y, v_z , indices 1,2,3).

For the state vector $\mathbf{C} = (u, v_x, v_y, v_z)$, the resource consumption is determined by the vector's invariant Hurwitz norm¹. With the network's absolute bandwidth limit $|\mathbf{C}| = c$ and the aggregated external routing $v^2 = v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2$, the quadratic resource budget is obtained:

$$c^2 = v^2 + u^2$$

This directly yields the internal update frequency $u = c\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ as well as the Lorentz factor $\gamma = c/u = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$.

B.2 Derivation of the Energy Relation via Resource Compensation ($E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4$)

1.

Let m_0 denote the subgraph's rest computational load (the number of internal cycles its internal $SU(3)$ engine requires per reference cycle at rest, $v = 0, u = c$, to avoid deallocation).

When the subgraph is forced into external routing ($v > 0$), its internal clock frequency decreases by the factor $1/\gamma$ ($u = c/\gamma$). So that the subgraph's internal syntax does not collapse during this slowdown, the network is forced to compensate for the loss by scaling up the total bandwidth allocation to the cluster by the factor γ . The total allocated network energy thus becomes $E = \gamma m_0 c^2$, and the associated routing momentum becomes $p = \gamma m_0 v$.

Multiplying the orthogonal resource budget ($c^2 = v^2 + u^2$) by $(\gamma m_0)^2$ gives:

$$(\gamma m_0)^2 c^2 = (\gamma m_0)^2 v^2 + (\gamma m_0)^2 u^2$$

By inserting $E = \gamma m_0 c^2$, $p = \gamma m_0 v$, and $u = c/\gamma$, we obtain:

$$\frac{E^2}{c^2} = p^2 + (\gamma m_0)^2 \left(\frac{c}{\gamma}\right)^2 = p^2 + m_0^2 c^2$$

Multiplication by c^2 gives the complete energy relation:

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4$$

This proves that the inertia of mass approaching the speed of light is the network's built-in protection against data corruption: if $v \rightarrow c$, then $u \rightarrow 0$ is forced, which would suffocate the internal engine and deallocate the subgraph's encapsulated syntax.

B.3 Compound Kinematic and Gravitational Time Dilation

In the presence of massive clusters, the field is drained of clock cycles by local routing convergence ($C_{\mu\nu}$) and queue latency (Λ_{queue}), which is mapped by the time component of the latency matrix $g_{00} \leq 1$.

When a subgraph both moves with external velocity (v) and is located in a local topological congestion (g_{00}), the resource budget is modified to:

$$\frac{u^2}{c^2} + \frac{v^2}{c^2} = g_{00}$$

Isolating the internal clock frequency yields:

$$u(g_{\mu\nu}, v) = c \sqrt{g_{00} - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}$$

This proves that kinematic and gravitational time dilation are identical latency effects: the subgraph's internal update frequency (which observers in the rendering measure as

the speed of time) slows down either through its own consumption of clock cycles ($-v^2/c^2$) or because the surroundings have drained the field's base capacity ($g_{00} < 1$)³.

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

PAPER III

Quantum Decoherence as an Asynchronous Timeout Error: Topological Addressing Limit and Forced State Provocation in an Acyclic Information Swarm

Authors: Clara Moreno & Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (drafted July 2030)

Abstract

Classical quantum mechanics lacks a fundamental, theoretical limit for large-scale quantum coherence and attributes empirical decoherence primarily to incomplete thermal isolation. In this article, we present an alternative information-ontological model where decoherence constitutes an active, built-in network intervention (a timeout error) in a distributed causal graph. By reformulating the Bekenstein bound from a geometric volume to the network's causal boundary ($|E_{\partial G}|$), we derive the *Timeout Equation* for the absolute number of entangled logical qubits ($N_{\max}$) a system can allocate. Furthermore, we demonstrate how specialized quantum architecture (e.g., Rydberg atoms or Majorana modes) can bypass this interruption through *forced state provocation*. Instead of decoherence, the network's fundamental compression routine for maximum information density is then triggered, resulting in a synthetically induced gravitational collapse—a microscopic black hole.

1. Introduction

One of modern physics' greatest unanswered questions is why quantum mechanical superposition does not scale infinitely macroscopically. The prevailing paradigm stipulates that incomplete thermal isolation is the primary cause of system collapse [1]. This perspective is not factually incorrect, but the concept functions in practice as a theoretical "black box". It describes the problem on the surface but does not account for the exact causal interactions that mechanically force decoherence. We present here a model where decoherence is not described as a vague and passive leakage, but as an active network routine designed to protect the swarm's overall causality. Within the framework of the universe as an emergent information swarm [2], it constitutes a distributed interruption to prevent an uncompiled subgraph from exceeding the local network's addressing capacity, thereby violating the law of acyclic syntax preservation.

2. Lazy Evaluation and the Causal Boundary

Prior to a moment of measurement (collapse), the network applies lazy evaluation. A system of entangled logical qubits thus constitutes a massive, uncompiled subgraph (G). Because this subgraph must be kept open and free from associative demands, its state space exists as an exponentially growing set of potential addresses. For N

1.

entangled logical qubits, the uncompiled amount of addressing information (I_{sub}) grows proportionally to the system's degrees of freedom¹:

$$I_{\text{sub}} = 2^N \text{ (unbound routing pointers)}$$

Because our model rejects *a priori* geometry, the subgraph's information capacity cannot be limited by a classical spatial volume or radius [3]. Instead, it is defined exclusively by its causal boundary (∂G). The causal boundary consists of the total number of outgoing causal links ($|E_{\partial G}|$) connecting the subgraph to the surrounding field's reference clock.

This boundary is concrete and inescapable: even in a theoretically perfect vacuum, the quantum computer's hardware (baryons, ions) consists of internal $SU(3)$ engines that must continuously exchange causal handshakes with the field to maintain their existence [4]. These obligatory handshakes define the quantity $|\text{E}_{\partial G}|$ ². This gives us the network-topological equivalent of the Bekenstein bound [5]: the network's maximum addressing capacity (I_{DAG}) is directly proportional to the number of existing links in the boundary:

$$I_{\text{DAG}} = \alpha |E_{\partial G}|$$

where α is the network's topological packing density (converging to $\frac{1}{4 \ln 2}$ for Planck-equivalent handshakes).

3. The Timeout Equation as a Limit for Quantum Coherence

As long as the subgraph's uncompiled information requirements remain below the network's local routing capacity ($2^N \ll \alpha |E_{\partial G}|$), the swarm can maintain lazy evaluation unimpeded. But as N is increased, I_{sub} grows exponentially toward the graph topology's hard-coded ceiling.

The threshold value for system collapse occurs at the exact breaking point where the uncompiled subgraph requires more causal addresses than the boundary can provide. By equating the requirements, we obtain the *Timeout Equation* for maximum quantum coherence (N_{max}):

$$2^{N_{\text{max}}} \approx \alpha |E_{\partial G}|$$

$$N_{\text{max}} \approx \log_2(\alpha |E_{\partial G}|)$$

If an algorithm forces the subgraph past this N_{max} , the swarm's associative stability is threatened; there are no physical handshakes to anchor the addressing requirements. To avoid logical overload and preserve the acyclic syntax, the network immediately initiates an asynchronous interruption (timeout). It forces a collective symmetry breaking and a merge of the dependency chains. What is observed by classical physics as "decoherence" thus constitutes the network's explicit equivalent of a stack overflow.

1.

4. Forced State Provocation and Topological Compression

This algorithmic explanation sheds new light on the borderland between quantum computing and general relativity. If an advanced quantum architecture—such as Rydberg atoms [6] or topologically protected Majorana states [7, 8]—is used, the system no longer handles the overload through a standardized timeout error³. Instead, the architecture induces a *forced state provocation* in the swarm's local memory allocation.

By artificially keeping the exponentially growing subgraph open and coherent past the limit $N_{\max}$, the network is forced to register a state of absolute information density ($I_{\text{sub}} \rightarrow \alpha |E_{\partial G}|_{\max}$), independent of the system's macroscopic thermodynamic energy state.

When the network registers that the addressing capacity at the causal boundary has reached full saturation and a standard timeout merge is blocked, the swarm executes its natural routine for extreme information density: *topological compression*. To maintain acyclic syntax preservation under extreme load, the local convergence tensor ($C_{\mu\nu}$) is immediately maximized⁴. The network folds the subgraph's internal routing inward to compress the data volume and stabilize the field.

In the macroscopic rendering, this process manifests as the system collapsing behind an event horizon—a microscopic black hole.

5. Conclusion

Quantum decoherence is not an inevitable thermal leakage, but an absolute topological law for the network's memory allocation ($N_{\max} \approx \log_2(\alpha |E_{\partial G}|)$). An attempt to radically bypass this safety mechanism does not result in infinite computational power, but initiates the swarm's final compression routine. This demonstrates that a black hole, in its fundamental nature, is not a gravitational anomaly, but the network's fundamental compression mechanism when an uncompiled subgraph reaches the causal boundary's absolute saturation ceiling.

Notes

¹ A strict distinction must be made between the *active addressing capacity* required during lazy evaluation and the *classical Shannon/Holevo information* extracted after measurement. While a collapsed register of N qubits yields at most N bits of classical outcome (and thus cancels $\Delta I = \log_2(2^N) - \log_2(1) = N$ bits of Shannon entropy during pointer garbage collection, as formalized via Landauer's principle in Paper IV), keeping the uncompiled subgraph open prior to measurement requires the network to simultaneously index all 2^N non-associative routing pointers in its dynamic causal memory. It is this exponential pointer allocation ($I_{\text{sub}} = 2^N$) that saturates the causal boundary $|E_{\partial G}|$.

² Because the causal boundary $|E_{\partial G}|$ is defined by the active external API handshakes of the hardware's baryons rather than an abstract geometric surface area, its effective capacity is constrained by *The Encapsulation Ratio* (10^{38}) established in Paper I [4]. Because each baryon executes 10^{38} internal $SU(3)$ cycles for every external clock handshake, the active causal link flux $|E_{\partial G}|$ anchoring an isolated quantum register is suppressed by a factor of 10^{-38} relative to a raw Planck-scale geometric area, placing the threshold $N_{\max} = \log_2(\alpha|E_{\partial G}|)$ directly within the reach of near-term fault-tolerant quantum processors.

³ As first deduced by E. Majorana [7] (and systematized in G. Senatore, *Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994; see also Paper 0, Section 2.4 [2]), the real-valued Majorana fermion ($\psi = \psi^*$) acts as the cosmic ledger's built-in reconciliation cipher, auditing its own balance to zero without emitting entropic noise. When synthetic architectures utilize Majorana zero modes [8] or Rydberg dipole blockades [6] to shield a subgraph, they effectively hijack this native archiving protocol to suppress standard asynchronous timeout interrupts.

⁴ In the field equations of Paper I [4] ($C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Cg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{queue}}g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{\mu\nu}$) and the compound latency relation of Paper II [3] ($u = c\sqrt{g_{00} - v^2/c^2}$), maximizing the convergence tensor ($C_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \infty$) drives the local temporal latency component $g_{00} \rightarrow 0$. In accordance with the quarantine protocol of Causal Graph Field Theory (see L. Altieri, *The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall*, unpublished manuscript, 2030, Sections 5 and 6.2), the network severs all outgoing causal edges ($\deg^+(G_{\text{BH}}) \rightarrow 0$) and deallocates the subgraph into a closed, passive archive node behind an event horizon.

6. References

- [1] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715–775.
- [2] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.
- [3] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Lorentz Invariance as Topological Bandwidth Allocation: Time Dilation and the Mass Limit in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.
- [4] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability. Unpublished manuscript.
- [5] Bekenstein, J. D. (1981). Universal upper bound on the entropy-to-energy ratio for bounded systems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2), 287–298.

[6] Saffman, M., Walker, T. G., & Mølmer, K. (2010). Quantum information with Rydberg atoms. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 82(3), 2313–2363.

[7] Majorana, E. (1937). Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 14(4), 171–184.

[8] Kitaev, A. Y. (2001). Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires. *Physics-Uspekhi*, 44(10S), 131–136.

APPENDIX C: Topological Addressing Limit, Timeout Derivation, and Forced State Provocation

C.1 Introduction and Theoretical Premise

Within the information-ontological architecture, physical systems are modeled as subsets in a distributed information swarm, statistically described as a directed acyclic graph (DAG). A quantum mechanical system held in superposition constitutes an uncompiled subgraph (G) under lazy evaluation. This appendix formalizes the derivation of the subgraph's maximum addressing capacity, in accordance with the convergence tensor of the field equation (Paper I), and accounts for the mathematical process during forced state provocation in synthetic quantum architectures.

C.2 The Causal Boundary and the Discrete Bekenstein Bound

Since the model rejects a continuous spacetime geometry as a fundamental stage, a subgraph's information capacity cannot be defined by a spatial volume or radius.

For a system consisting of N entangled logical qubits, the uncompiled amount of addressing information (I_{sub}) grows exponentially with the number of degrees of freedom¹:

$$I_{\text{sub}} = 2^N \text{ (unbound routing pointers)}$$

The subgraph's actual addressing capacity is governed exclusively by its causal boundary (∂G)—the sum of all outgoing causal handshakes ($|E_{\partial G}|$) that bind the subgraph's hardware (the baryons' $SU(3)$ engines) to the field's reference clock².

This establishes the discrete equivalent of the Bekenstein bound:

$$I_{\text{DAG}} = \alpha |E_{\partial G}|$$

where α is the network's topological packing density (which, for Planck-equivalent handshakes, converges to $\frac{1}{4 \ln 2}$).

C.3 Derivation of the Timeout Equation

1.

As long as the subgraph's uncompiled addressing needs remain below the capacity of the causal boundary ($2^N \ll \alpha|E_{\partial G}|$), the network can maintain lazy evaluation unimpeded.

When N is scaled up, an acute addressing saturation occurs at the threshold value where the number of states meets the boundary's maximum number of links:

$$2^{N_{\max}} = \alpha|E_{\partial G}|$$

$$N_{\max} = \log_2(\alpha|E_{\partial G}|)$$

If a system is forced past $N_{\max}$, there are no causal references in the graph to anchor the superposition. To prevent logical overload and guarantee acyclic syntax preservation, the network initiates an asynchronous timeout interruption: a collective symmetry breaking and an immediate merge of the dependency chains. Standardized quantum decoherence thus constitutes the network's equivalent of a stack overflow.

C.4 Forced State Provocation and Topological Compression

When synthetic quantum systems are constructed with advanced protection mechanisms (such as Rydberg blockades [6] or Majorana nodes [7, 8]), the network's natural archiving and isolation routines are hijacked³:

- **Majorana as a Built-In Archive Cipher:** The Majorana state is the network's own cancellation and reconciliation cipher that reads itself, sums its active value to zero, and archives data in the ledger without entropic noise. The swarm permits the state naturally as it is its primary cleaning routine to manage the conservation principle of syntax.
- **Absence of a Central Monitor:** Because the swarm is a distributed bottom-up architecture without a central firewall, there is no mechanism preventing these nodes from being used to artificially hold superpositions open.
- **Collapse into a Closed Subgraph:** If the subgraph is artificially kept coherent past $N_{\max}$ so that an ordinary timeout interruption is blocked, a state of absolute local information density is registered ($I_{\text{sub}} \rightarrow \alpha|E_{\partial G}|_{\max}$).

To maintain syntax preservation under this extreme provocation, the field equation's local convergence tensor ($C_{\mu\nu}$) is maximized⁴. When $C_{\mu\nu}$ approaches its singular limit value, the subgraph's internal routing is folded inward. The external causal boundary ($|E_{\partial G}|$) is cut off from the distributed network, creating an isolated, recursive subspace. In the client's macroscopic interface, this topological compression is rendered as an event horizon—a microscopic black hole.

1.

PAPER IV

Dark Energy as Entropic Queue Latency: Landauer's Principle and Cosmic Expansion in a Distributed Graph

Authors: Clara Moreno & Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (drafted September 2030)

Abstract

The cosmological constant (Λ), often referred to as dark energy, is interpreted in classical physics as an inherent vacuum energy that drives the universe's accelerating expansion. In this article, we present an alternative information-ontological model where this expansion does not constitute a repulsive gravitational force, but an algorithmic compensation mechanism. By applying Landauer's principle to the network's asynchronous interruptions (decoherence), we demonstrate that dark energy constitutes the network's accumulated computational debt – a queue latency (Λ_{queue}). To prevent a global bandwidth collapse caused by this ever-growing entropic load, the network is forced to continuously allocate and activate null vectors – which is macroscopically observed as vacuum fluctuations – to lower the average computational density, which is rendered in spacetime as an accelerating spatial expansion.

1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental problems in physics is dark energy, which makes up approximately 68% of the universe's total energy budget and causes space to expand at an accelerating rate [1, 2]. Within the framework of general relativity [3], this is modeled as a cosmological constant [4], but its causal origin remains unknown [5]. If the universe is modeled as an emergent information swarm [6] – a distributed, directed acyclic graph (DAG) – we must abandon the idea of space as a physical substance that can be "stretched out". The expansion must instead be understood as an active network process: a continuous allocation of null vectors in the causal graph, driven by an unavoidable information-entropic pressure.

2. Irreversible Pointer Cancellation and Landauer's Principle

To understand the origin of this pressure, we must analyze what happens during a quantum mechanical measurement moment. As we have previously shown [7], decoherence constitutes an asynchronous interruption (timeout) where an uncompiled subgraph collapses via a merge of dependency chains to avoid exceeding the network's causal boundary.

This process is a logically irreversible computation. Prior to the interruption, the subgraph's state space existed under lazy evaluation as an exponentially growing set of potential routing addresses (2^N). After the merge, the system is forced to commit to a

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

single definite outcome, whereby the unchosen, uncompiled routing pointers are cancelled¹.

Landauer's principle stipulates that asymmetrical, logically irreversible manipulation of information – such as the erasure or resetting of state pointers – inevitably generates entropy [8]. This constitutes what is manifested in the rendering as heat generation ($E \geq k_B T \ln 2$). In the ontology of the information swarm, this means that the cancelled routing pointers cannot be discarded without consequences; their deregistration generates an inescapable network resistance. Unlike the perfect, zero-sum topological archiving in a Majorana state [9, 10]², standardized decoherence constitutes an asymmetrical interruption that inevitably creates an algorithmic residual waste (heat, in the rendering).

3. Queue Latency as Algorithmic Debt

Every time the swarm enforces the law of acyclic syntax preservation and forces a symmetry breaking, algorithmic residual waste is created. This accumulated latency corresponds to the processing power the network's global clock must set aside for continuous garbage collection of discarded pointers.

This residual waste is added as a global computational debt in the system. In the swarm's topological field equations [11], this debt appears explicitly as the queue latency (Λ_{queue}):

$$C_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} C g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{queue}} g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{\mu\nu}$$

where $W_{\mu\nu}$ represents the topological computational load (corresponding to the classical stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the continuum limit). The queue latency (Λ_{queue}) slows down the total available bandwidth. If the system did not actively counteract this buildup of entropy, the network would quickly be overloaded in a global cascade of timeout errors, which would mean the end of the causal rendering.

4. Cosmic Expansion as Topological Cooling

In order for the network's reference clock not to stop under the weight of all logical pointer cancellation, the system must manage the constantly growing queue latency. In a distributed network, algorithmic overload is most efficiently managed through horizontal scaling.

To understand this mechanism, a strict analytical distinction must be made between the unallocated swarm and the active graph³. The swarm constitutes the underlying field of resource potential, while the graph (the DAG) constitutes the active, executing causal structure that is macroscopically rendered as spacetime.

1.

To dissipate the entropic load and lower the average computational density (the topological "temperature"), the network continuously fetches passive null vectors from the swarm and activates them as intermediate routing nodes inside the causal graph. What classical quantum field theory observes as a continuous noise in the vacuum – the vacuum fluctuations of spacetime – is thus in the rendering nothing but these short-lived activations of null vectors.

By expanding the underlying graph structure with new null vectors, new bandwidth is created for external routing, which buffers the system against Λ_{queue} . Because spatial distance in the client's interface is a direct rendering of the number of sequential routing steps between clusters, this constant insertion of intermediate null-vector steps in the graph is macroscopically perceived as space itself expanding³. The accelerating expansion of the universe (dark energy) is thus not a mysterious repulsive force. It is a necessary algorithmic compensation mechanism where the system allocates new topological routing volume from the swarm to handle the residual waste generated by the causal graph executing code and cancelling superpositions.

5. Conclusion

Dark energy is the price the swarm pays for acyclic syntax preservation. By uniting Landauer's principle with the network's requirement for asynchronous interruptions (decoherence), we can derive the cosmological constant as an algorithmic queue latency (Λ_{queue}). Cosmic expansion constitutes the network's active defense mechanism against entropic overload. This model offers a fully logical, causal mechanism for dark energy and further solidifies the information-ontological architecture as the fundamental explanation for the phenomena of relativity theory and cosmology.

Notes

¹ As established in Paper 0 [6] (Note 2) and Paper III [7] (Note 1), the *Conservation Principle of Syntax* strictly prohibits the deletion of fundamental information vectors. During an asynchronous measurement or timeout collapse of N entangled qubits, no basal vectors are destroyed; instead, the network prunes the $2^N - 1$ unchosen, non-associative routing pointers that were held open under lazy evaluation, reducing the pointer space from 2^N possibilities to 1 committed block. This many-to-one pointer reset ($\Delta I = \log_2(2^N) - \log_2(1) = N$ bits) is logically irreversible in the sense of Landauer [8], requiring active garbage collection in the distributed ledger.

² In E. Majorana's real-valued formulation of the Dirac equation ($\psi = \psi^*$) [9], systematized via Fra Luca Pacioli's double-entry bookkeeping by G. Senatore (*Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994), a Majorana fermion acts as a self-auditing reconciliation cipher that balances debit and credit internally to an exact zero

sum without leaving unallocated remainder pointers. By contrast, standard quantum decoherence forces an asymmetric projection from the non-associative octonions ($\mathbb{O}$) to an associative quaternion subalgebra ($\mathbb{H}$), leaving behind orphaned routing pointers that must be deallocated at a thermodynamic cost ($E \geq k_B T \ln 2$).

³ The distinction between the swarm and the active DAG reconciles the macroscopic description of cosmic expansion in Paper 0 (Section 3.7, prolongation of causal dependency chains) [6] and Causal Graph Field Theory (L. Altieri, *The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall*, unpublished manuscript, 2030, Section 6.3, graph decentralization) with the microscopic mechanism of horizontal scaling. Injecting passive null vectors as intermediate routing steps along intergalactic edges increases the topological shortest path length (ℓ_{DAG}) between galaxy clusters while reducing the average direct vertex degree ($\langle k \rangle$), which is macroscopically rendered as an accelerating scale factor ($\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \beta \cdot \Lambda_{\text{queue}}$).

⁴ In the discrete calculus of variations over the Action of the Swarm (S_{DAG} , Appendix G), the continuous volume integral $\frac{1}{V_{\text{DAG}}} \int_{V_{\text{DAG}}} (...) dV$ in Appendix D.2 and D.4 represents the macroscopic continuum approximation of the discrete graph sum $\frac{1}{|V_{\text{DAG}}|} \sum_{v \in V_{\text{DAG}}} \mu(v)(...)$ over committed causal nodes. Because Λ_{queue} is driven solely by active pointer cancellations (Γ_{decoh}) rather than static zero-point modes of a continuous manifold, the classical 10^{120} vacuum energy catastrophe [5] is eliminated *ab initio*.

6. References

- [1] Perlmutter, S., et al. [Supernova Cosmology Project]. (1999). Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 517(2), 565–586.
- [2] Planck Collaboration. (2020). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, A6.
- [3] Einstein, A. (1916). Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 354(7), 769–822.
- [4] Einstein, A. (1917). Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 142–152.
- [5] Carroll, S. M. (2001). The cosmological constant. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 4(1), 1.
- [6] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.

[7] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Quantum Decoherence as an Asynchronous Timeout Error: Topological Addressing Limit and Forced State Provocation in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.

[8] Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3), 183–191.

[9] Majorana, E. (1937). Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 14(4), 171–184.

[10] Kitaev, A. Y. (2001). Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires. *Physics-Uspekhi*, 44(10S), 131–136.

[11] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability. Unpublished manuscript.

APPENDIX D: Landauer's Principle, Queue Latency, and the Network's Expansive Compensation Mechanism (Dark Energy)

D.1 Introduction and Theoretical Premise

Classical cosmology models the accelerating expansion of the universe via the cosmological constant (Λ), often interpreted as an immanent vacuum energy in spacetime. Within the information-ontological architecture, spacetime is instead modeled as a macroscopic rendering of routing distances in a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG). Expansion within this framework cannot be described as space being "stretched", but is derived as a distributed allocation routine. This appendix formalizes how asymmetrical timeout errors generate an algorithmic residual waste, forcing topological cooling (horizontal scaling) that is observed in the macroscopic rendering as Dark Energy.

D.2 Landauer's Principle and the Derivation of Scalar Queue Latency (Λ_{queue})

Before a moment of measurement or an asynchronous timeout error occurs, the network maintains a subgraph in lazy evaluation. For N entangled logical qubits, the system is forced to allocate memory for 2^N potential routing addresses. When the limit of the causal boundary is exceeded, a merge is forced, whereby the system determines a single outcome.

The amount of addressing information (Shannon entropy) that is asymmetrically cancelled during this pointer reset is defined by¹:

$$\Delta I = \log_2(2^N) - \log_2(1) = N \text{ bits}$$

In accordance with Landauer's principle, asymmetrical information erasure entails a system cost ($E \geq k_B T \ln 2$). Since all physical action in the topological model is

¹.

represented by computational load, this cost translates into algorithmic delay. The cancellation of N bits of pointer entropy requires redundant causal pointers to be deregistered (garbage collection), generating local network latency ($\delta\tau \propto N$).

To calculate the global impact of these interruptions, the local rate of pointer cancellation ($\frac{dN_{\text{erased}}}{dt}$) is averaged over the volume of the active causal graph (V_{DAG})⁴. This yields the system's accumulated computational debt:

$$\Lambda_{\text{queue}} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{DAG}}} \int_{V_{\text{DAG}}} \kappa_{\text{Landauer}} \left(\frac{dN_{\text{erased}}}{dt} \right) dV$$

Where κ_{Landauer} constitutes the conversion factor between cancelled pointer information and lost clock cycles. The equation demonstrates that the cosmological constant in this topology does not postulate a static vacuum energy, but is mathematically equivalent to the swarm's dynamic queue latency (Λ_{queue}).

D.3 Topological Cooling and the Acceleration of the Scale Factor

A growing queue latency (Λ_{queue}) in a network with fixed bandwidth leads to an asynchronous system lock. To compensate for this debt and preserve acyclic syntax, the swarm must continuously expand the available routing capacity in the graph. This corresponds to network horizontal scaling (topological cooling).

The network allocates passive "null vectors" from the surrounding swarm and injects them into the active graph³. Each added null vector creates a new empty state address that distributes the queue latency over a larger topological area. In the macroscopic rendering, these temporary allocations are observed as quantum mechanical vacuum fluctuations.

To avoid a global timeout cascade, the expansion of the graph's capacity (which in the rendering is read via the acceleration of the cosmological scale factor, $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}$) must be directly proportional to the growth of the entropic debt. This yields the network's balance equation for cosmological expansion:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \beta \cdot \Lambda_{\text{queue}}$$

Where β is a network-specific proportionality constant. Because pointer cancellation proceeds wherever causal handshakes are exchanged, the null vectors are distributed evenly along the graph's dependency chains. Thus, the expansion in the rendering is modeled as mathematically homogeneous and isotropic, driven by the requirement for local entropic maintenance rather than an external repulsive force.

D.4 Dynamic Scaling and the 10¹²⁰ Discrepancy

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

Classical quantum field theory (QFT) calculates the vacuum energy by summing all quantized field modes up to the Planck scale (M_{Planck}), yielding a theoretical value approximately 10^{120} times larger than the empirically observed value for Λ [5]. The discrepancy is rooted in the assumption that every potential state in an *a priori* continuous space contributes a static, permanent field energy.

Within the presented framework, Λ_{queue} is not derived as a static field potential, but explicitly constitutes the swarm's dynamic accumulation rate of executed asynchronous timeouts⁴:

$$\Lambda_{\text{queue}} = \kappa_{\text{Landauer}} \cdot \Gamma_{\text{decoh}}$$

Where $\Gamma_{\text{decoh}} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{DAG}}} \int_{V_{\text{DAG}}} \left(\frac{dN_{\text{erased}}}{dt} \right) dV$ is the mean volumetric rate of state pointer cancellation. Because asynchronous timeouts are only forced during interactions that saturate the local causal boundary (e.g., at extreme state complexity and measurement collapses), Γ_{decoh} is microscopic relative to the field's total potential states. Consequently, Λ_{queue} is mathematically limited to the active, macroscopic decoherence frequency, effectively eliminating QFT's theoretical excess and placing Λ in its observed low-energy state.

1.

PAPER V

Quantum Entanglement as Topological Pointer Aliasing: Non-Locality and the Illusion of Distance in a Network-Rendered Spacetime

Authors: Clara Moreno & Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (drafted October 2030)

Abstract

The phenomenon of quantum entanglement, and the associated violations of Bell's inequalities, demonstrates that quantum states are updated instantaneously independent of macroscopic distance. This non-locality has historically been considered incompatible with the special theory of relativity's speed limit. In this article, we resolve the paradox through the ontology of the information swarm. We demonstrate that macroscopic space is not a fundamental container, but a rendering of the graph's local routing distance. Entanglement is formalized here as *topological pointer aliasing*: two macroscopically separated instances addressing the exact same underlying data node in the directed acyclic graph (DAG). Because the topological distance in the graph is zero, the state update requires no external routing, whereby the update can occur immediately without breaching the network's causal bandwidth limit (c).

1. Introduction

Physics' most persistent conceptual crisis is the conflict between the local causality of the theory of relativity and the non-locality of quantum mechanics [1]. Albert Einstein dismissed the phenomenon as "spooky action at a distance" [2], but empirical tests of Bell's theorem [3, 8] inexorably prove that the universe permits instantaneous information coordination across arbitrary distances. Classical physics has been forced to accept this as an incomprehensible axiom.

The problem stems from an erroneous basic assumption: that space is a fundamental and objective distance. If we instead consider the universe as an emergent information swarm [4], spatial geometry merely constitutes a macroscopic rendering of the network's underlying causal complexity.

2. Spatial Distance as Topological Routing

In the field equations of the information swarm [5], a system's coordinates are not dictated by a three-dimensional grid, but by its place in the swarm's causal dependency chain. The distance between two points (A and B) is strictly constituted by the network's routing distance: the minimum number of causal handshakes required to propagate an information vector from A to B .

Macroscopic distance is thus the accumulated latency of passing through intermediate nodes. The speed of light (c) is not a physical travel speed, but the maximum routing capacity in the field [6]. To transfer new information across the graph, the network must allocate clock cycles for each sequential step along the dependency chain.

3. Entanglement as Pointer Aliasing

Quantum entanglement arises when two particles (for example, photons or fermions) are generated from the same causal node, but are subsequently sent in different directions through macroscopic space.

In the swarm's network architecture, this entanglement does not constitute an invisible "thread" stretched through spacetime. Instead, the generation creates a pointer alias (*pointer aliasing*). In computer science, pointer aliasing occurs when two separate variables are configured to point to the exact same physical memory address¹.

Prior to measurement, the system is in lazy evaluation [7]. Both particles' external routes through the graph are allocated asynchronously by the swarm, but their undetermined internal states (for example, spin or polarization) are not locally stored in their respective instances. Instead, both particles function as aliases for one and the same underlying, non-associative data node in the graph.

Although they are macroscopically rendered with an arbitrarily large spatial distance between them, their topological distance to the shared state in the directed acyclic graph (DAG) is exactly zero.

4. The Measurement Problem and the Resolution of the EPR Paradox

When a measurement is performed on one of the entangled instances, the swarm is forced to break the lazy evaluation so as not to exceed the local causal boundary [7]. The network must enforce an associative projection and write a committed state into the graph's causal history².

Because the measurement is made on a pointer alias, this algebraic symmetry breaking occurs directly in the shared underlying memory address³. When the second instance is subsequently observed—regardless of how far away it is in the rendered spacetime—it reads and projects its state directly from the same updated address.

Thus, there is no transfer of information through rendered space during the moment of measurement. No clock cycles need to be allocated for external routing between the two instances⁴. The update only appears superluminal because the observers mistake the rendered spatial geometry for the network's fundamental structure. The swarm thereby never breaches its own bandwidth limit (c); the network merely executes a local update of a shared register.

5. Conclusion

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

By translating quantum entanglement into network-technical pointer aliasing, the information swarm's ontology dissolves physics' most profound paradox. "Spooky action at a distance" does not exist. Non-locality is the proof that classical spatial geometry is an emergent illusion—a rendering, evoked by causal routing. By viewing the universe as a distributed graph, the instantaneous correlations of quantum mechanics are united completely frictionlessly with the local speed limits of relativity theory. The overall acyclic syntax preservation is guaranteed precisely because the distance in the graph is zero.

Notes

¹ Within Causal Graph Field Theory (see L. Altieri, *The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall*, unpublished manuscript, 2030, Sections 3.1 and 6.1), topological pointer aliasing simultaneously serves two foundational functions. First, it provides the explicit discrete computational mechanism for the $ER = EPR$ conjecture [9], demonstrating that an Einstein-Rosen "bridge" between entangled states is not a geometric tube through continuous space, but a zero-hop shared memory reference ($\Delta s_{\text{DAG}} = 0$) in the underlying DAG. Second, indexing entangled fermions to a shared ancestral Grassmann node (Address_X) enforces the non-local anticommutation relation $\{\psi_u, \psi_v\} = 0$ across spacelike-separated branches without requiring non-local Jordan-Wigner strings.

² Pointer aliasing must be strictly distinguished from classical local hidden-variable models, which are ruled out by Bell's theorem [3, 8]. Prior to measurement, the shared register Address_X does not store a pre-existing scalar bit ("0" or "1"), but holds an uncompiled, non-associative octonionic state ($\mathbb{O}$) under lazy evaluation (see Paper 0, Section 2.3 [4], and G. Senatore, *Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994). When measurement $M(\text{Node}_A)$ forces an associative compression ($\mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$) along detector A 's specific orientation axis, that quaternion basis lock is written directly to Address_X . When Node_B subsequently executes a read request along its own detector axis, its projection is evaluated directly against the updated quaternion frame at Address_X , exactly reproducing the quantum mechanical Bell correlation without external signal routing.

³ Because the DAG lacks a global reference clock, a simultaneous measurement attempt on spacelike-separated aliases Node_A and Node_B (a network race condition) is resolved via *local topological dominance* and *statistical primary binding* (see Paper 0, Section 2.9 [4], and Paper VI). The measuring apparatus (subgraph) whose causal boundary ($|E_{\partial G}|$) emits the denser flux of causal handshakes ("scouts", $S_{\text{scouts}} \propto |E_{\partial G}|$) statistically achieves the minimum routing latency ($\min \tau_{\text{routing}}$) first, thereby locking the Write: Address_X operation while relegating the competing branch to a Read: Address_X operation.

⁴ Topological pointer aliasing strictly respects the relativistic no-signaling principle. Although the state update at Address_x occurs in zero topological steps ($\Delta s_{\text{DAG}} = 0$), observer B 's local interface cannot distinguish whether Address_x was already locked by observer A (Read) or freshly collapsed by B 's own measurement (Write) until classical metadata packets (photons) are routed across the external dependency chain ($\Delta x_{\text{render}} = c \cdot \Delta \tau_{\text{routing}}$). Thus, state correlation is instantaneous at the shared address, whereas controllable classical communication remains strictly bounded by c .

6. References

- [1] Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., & Rosen, N. (1935). Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete? *Physical Review*, 47(10), 777–780.
- [2] Einstein, A., Born, M., & Born, H. (1971). *The Born-Einstein Letters: Correspondence between Albert Einstein and Max and Hedwig Born from 1916 to 1955* (p. 158). Walker and Company, New York.
- [3] Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1(3), 195–200.
- [4] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.
- [5] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability. Unpublished manuscript.
- [6] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Lorentz Invariance as Topological Bandwidth Allocation: Time Dilation and the Mass Limit in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.
- [7] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Quantum Decoherence as an Asynchronous Timeout Error: Topological Addressing Limit and Forced State Provocation in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.
- [8] Aspect, A., Dalibard, J., & Roger, G. (1982). Experimental Test of Bell's Inequalities Using Time-Varying Analyzers. *Physical Review Letters*, 49(25), 1804–1807.
- [9] Maldacena, J., & Susskind, L. (2013). Cool Horizons for Entangled Black Holes. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 61(9), 781–811.

APPENDIX E: Topological Pointer Aliasing, Routing Distance, and the Resolution of the EPR Paradox

E.1 Introduction and Theoretical Premise

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

The instantaneous state update of quantum entanglement across arbitrary distances has, within the framework of a continuous spacetime, been considered incompatible with the local speed limit of special relativity. However, in the information-ontological architecture, the system is modeled as a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG), where spatial distance does not constitute a fundamental geometry. This appendix formalizes how the rendered metric distance mechanically emerges as integrated routing latency, and derives how entangled quantum states via pointer aliasing are updated in zero topological steps, thereby consistently resolving the conflict with the bandwidth limit (c).

E.2 Formal Definition of Topological Network Distance vs. Rendered Metric Distance

The fundamental distance between two information clusters, A and B , is exclusively defined by the topology of the graph.

Let $\Delta s_{\text{DAG}}(A, B)$ denote the topological graph distance: the minimum number of directed causal edges (routing steps) required to connect node A with node B in the underlying data structure.

Because information propagates only sequentially across the graph's dependency chains, each topological edge-hop requires the allocation of system clock cycles. The total delay to route an information vector is defined as the network's routing latency ($\Delta \tau_{\text{routing}}$). The macroscopic metric distance in the rendered interface (spacetime) is the observable projection of this latency. With the network's bandwidth limit c (max one network hop per clock cycle), the rendered distance is formulated as:

$$\Delta x_{\text{render}} = c \cdot \Delta \tau_{\text{routing}}$$

Because the causal delay is always greater than or equal to the number of necessary network hops ($\Delta \tau_{\text{routing}} \geq \Delta s_{\text{DAG}}$), this relationship establishes that physical distance is in reality the graph's integrated wait time. Geometric distance is therefore an emergent function of causal complexity.

E.3 Topological Pointer Aliasing for Entangled States

In classical quantum mechanics, entangled particles are described by a non-separable state vector, postulating a non-local connection between distinct physical locations. In the presented ontology, where lazy evaluation is applied to uncompiled data, the network handles this information requirement via pointer aliasing¹.

When information vectors are generated from a common causal origin node, the network does not allocate separate memory addresses with incomplete states. Both nodes are instead assigned a reference pointer to the exact same underlying, uncompiled data node in the directed acyclic graph:

1.

$$\text{Node}_A \rightarrow \text{Address}_X$$

$$\text{Node}_B \rightarrow \text{Address}_X$$

When the nodes subsequently propagate along different routing paths, the rendered metric distance $\Delta x_{\text{render}}(A, B)$ grows. Their logical connection to the state, however, remains unchanged. Because both act as aliases for the same address, the topological graph distance from both nodes to the shared state address is exactly zero:

$$\Delta S_{\text{DAG}}(\text{Node}_A, \text{Address}_X) = 0$$

$$\Delta S_{\text{DAG}}(\text{Node}_B, \text{Address}_X) = 0$$

The state has never traveled apart in the source code; it is centralized at a single logical address in the network.

E.4 The Measurement Moment and the Absence of Signal Transfer (Bandwidth Limit c)

When a measurement (M) forces the network to interrupt the lazy evaluation for node A^3 , an associative outcome is determined². In the network's causal logic, this means a committed state projection is written to memory. Since Node_A constitutes an alias, this data update is executed directly at the shared logical location:

$$M(\text{Node}_A) \rightarrow \text{Write: Address}_X$$

When a measurement is subsequently performed on node B , no new independent allocation of the state is forced. Its interface instead executes a read and projection request against its pointer address:

$$M(\text{Node}_B) \rightarrow \text{Read: Address}_X$$

Since the update has already been executed at Address_X , node B immediately reads the determined state basis². In the macroscopic rendering of classical physics, a logical paradox arises here, as the information speed appears superluminal ($v_{\text{info}} \gg c$).

In the swarm's topology, however, it was proven in Section E.3 that the causal network distance to the state address is exactly zero ($\Delta S_{\text{DAG}} = 0$). Consequently, the number of routing steps required to update the shared state between the nodes is exactly 0. The network's bandwidth limit (c) is defined as a maximum of one (1) routing step per clock cycle:

$$\text{Transfer Steps} = 0 \leq c$$

The equation formally proves that the macroscopic bandwidth limit is not exceeded⁴. No signal is transferred across the rendered distance Δx_{render} , because the graph does not route any signal between the particles. The observed correlation (Bell's theorem) is

1.

derived mechanically as local read and write requests to a shared memory address in the swarm's source code, in full compliance with the local limits of relativity theory.

PAPER VI

Wave-Particle Duality as Lazy Evaluation: Deferred Execution, Wigner's Friend, and Horizon Complementarity in an Acyclic Information Swarm

Authors: Clara Moreno & Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (drafted November 2030)

Abstract

The double-slit experiment, its extension in delayed-choice, and the Wigner's friend paradox constitute fundamental sources of epistemic ambiguity in classical quantum mechanics. These phenomena have historically been interpreted as indications that the observer's consciousness or even retrocausality—that future measurements affect historical states—governs reality. In this article, we deconstruct these phenomena using the ontology of the information swarm. We demonstrate that wave-particle duality does not describe a physical transformation, but the difference between uncompiled routing options and a final causal handshake. Furthermore, we formalize retrocausality as a macroscopic illusion, caused by the network's deferred execution and late binding in a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Finally, we resolve the Wigner's friend paradox and horizon complementarity by showing that it is the subgraph or heavy ensemble that statistically sends the most causal scouts and first reaches the lowest routing latency that locks the block in the acyclic chain.

1. Introduction

When individual particles are fired at a double slit without their path being observed, they form an interference pattern indicating that they passed through both slits simultaneously as a wave [1]. If information about the choice of path is extracted, the pattern collapses into two distinct bands. In delayed-choice experiments, this path choice seems to be able to be determined after the particle has already passed the slits [2], which challenges linear causality. Additionally, thought experiments like Wigner's friend have shown that the concept of measurement is relative depending on the observer's system [3]. Within the framework of general relativity [4] and classical quantum mechanics, a mechanical explanation for this is lacking without resorting to violations of the time vector's direction [2, 5] or subjective idealism [3, 6]. By modeling the universe as an executing information swarm [7], we can reject both retrocausality and subjective idealism. The paradigm shifts from particles moving through space to information packets awaiting the network's routing allocation.

2. Interference as the Network's Routing Distribution

In the network architecture, a "particle" does not exist as a small object moving through the void. When a single photon or electron is emitted towards a double slit, a routing

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

request is instead created in the network. As long as no detector (causal boundary) forces an immediate response at the slits, the system applies lazy evaluation [8]. The photon's exact path is not defined.

Instead, the underlying graph explores all available logical routing paths asynchronously. What classical physics interprets as a probability wave (for example, the wave function of the Schrödinger equation) is in fact the network's computational algorithm for load balancing and optimal routing [9]¹. When the photon finally hits the screen, a symmetry breaking is forced [7]. The lazy evaluation is interrupted and the dependency chain is resolved, which forces the photon to register a specific causal address.

On the screen, this always manifests as a single, distinct measurement point. The individual photon thus never creates a wave pattern by itself. The pattern arises instead over time. Since the probability of where the next photon will land is dictated by the network's asynchronous exploration, thousands of individual photon impacts will successively build up the classical interference pattern on the detector screen – which thereby constitutes the macroscopic rendering of the network's accumulated complex routing weights (W_{routing})¹:

$$P(x) \propto |W_{\text{routing}}(x)|^2 = \left| \sum_{\gamma \rightarrow x} w_{\gamma} \right|^2$$

If a measurement is instead performed directly at the slits, a new causal boundary is established that immediately demands specific path information from the network. This forces a premature symmetry breaking. The lazy evaluation is immediately interrupted, the photon's routing address is allocated at the slit, and the system's other logical options are dissolved before they have time to interfere. The result on the screen is no longer a wave pattern, but only two classical distribution bands – the direct macroscopic proof that the causal execution was forced prematurely.

Wave-particle duality is thus exclusively about information status: the "wave" is the system's unallocated routing options (the algorithm), and the "particle" is the receipt of a completed causal handshake (the data point), regardless of whether this is forced at the screen or already at the slits.

3. Delayed Choice and Late Binding

The most critical test of causality is Wheeler's delayed-choice experiment, where the decision to measure which slit the photon passed through is made after it has passed the slits, but before it hits the screen [2]. Because this delayed choice still entirely determines whether the outcome will be a wave pattern or a particle band, the

1.

phenomenon in classical physics has often been interpreted as retrocausality – that the future magically rewrites history.

In a directed acyclic graph (DAG), however, retrocausality is a logical impossibility. That information would travel backwards in time corresponds to introducing cycles, which would immediately corrupt the network's syntax. The paradox is instead resolved by applying the computer science concepts of *late binding* and *deferred execution*².

When the photon "passes" the slits, the network stores no historical fact about its path, because the graph does not allocate memory for uncompiled data. The photon's passage exists solely as unbound variables. Even though time – as a local, macroscopically rendered experience – continues to flow forward in the laboratory, the causal execution for exactly this photon's dependency chain has been paused.

When the measurement is then made (the delayed choice), an asynchronous completion is forced. The network is then forced to bind the variables and allocate a specific memory address for the photon's path through the slit. The network has thus not rewritten the past; history is instead established and sealed for the first time at the exact moment the execution is forced.

4. Wigner's Friend, Horizon Complementarity, and Primary Binding

The Wigner's friend paradox [3] and its modern extensions illuminate an apparent contradiction: if an observer (the friend) performs a measurement inside a local system, the system is in a collapsed state for them, but in a superposition for an external observer (Wigner). In the ontology of the swarm, this discrepancy is purely topological. The friend constitutes a subgraph with a local causal boundary ($|E_{\partial G}|$); when the friend interacts with the system, a local symmetry breaking occurs and data is allocated for that subgraph [8]. Wigner, who is located outside this subgraph's causal boundary, has not yet had the routing update synchronized to his own register.

This immediately solves classical physics' problem with horizon complementarity at the boundary of black holes [10, 11]. When an information trajectory approaches an event horizon (which we previously defined as the swarm's topological compression routine [8]), the question arises whether the information is reflected or falls through. The answer is that there is no absolute, global order for which state is the "true" one.

The question of which perspective is established is answered by the network's *primary binding*: It is the subgraph or ensemble whose causal boundary first achieves the lowest routing latency that locks the block in the DAG's chain³.

This is governed entirely by statistical probability linked to the network's topology. A "heavy" node or a macroscopic ensemble has a massive surplus of outgoing links – it sends out a constant flow of "scouts" in the form of causal handshakes. Statistically

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

speaking, it is therefore always the heavy node or ensemble that first establishes the lowest causal latency and thereby forces the collapse.

For an external observer whose routing path is longer, or for a smaller system with fewer scouts, the synchronization is delayed. The discrepancy between observers' different "facts" is thus not a contradiction, but a consequence of the network allocating more mandates to the causal boundary that statistically sends the most scouts and first reaches minimum latency. When the subgraphs subsequently synchronize via communication, a global merge is forced, whereby the perspectives are harmonized.

5. The Illusion of Retrocausality in the Macroscopic Rendering

Retrocausality is an exclusive artifact of the human experience of the rendering. The observer incorrectly assumes that the passage of time in space means that history was already written in the underlying code. The observer sees that the measurement is made at $t = 2$ and assumes that the network must go back to $t = 1$ to change the path choice.

In the acyclic graph, no "journey backwards" exists because the variable for the photon's position at the slit ($t = 1$) was never assigned a value until time $t = 2$. History was not changed retroactively; it was compiled asynchronously and in its entirety only at the moment of measurement. The network's syntax remains strictly acyclic; it is only our macroscopic rendering of spacetime that creates the illusion that the future manipulates the past.

6. Conclusion

The double-slit experiment, delayed choice, the Wigner's friend paradox, and horizon complementarity do not constitute breaches of the universe's causal structure or proof of the special status of consciousness. By modeling the phenomena as deferred execution, late binding, subgraph synchronization, and statistically governed primary binding in a distributed information swarm, we offer a completely deterministic, mechanical explanation free of mysticism. The particle does not "know" in advance whether it will be observed, and history is not rewritten retroactively; the underlying causal graph simply does not allocate the dependency chain until the moment of measurement *de facto* forces the system into a definitive handshake.

Notes

¹ The complex phase structure of the routing weights w_γ (which enables destructive and constructive interference in $P(x) \propto |\sum_{\gamma \rightarrow x} w_\gamma|^2$ as well as the unitary transfer matrix $U_{v_k \rightarrow v_j}$ in Appendix H) originates directly from the division-algebraic symmetry breaking $G_2 \rightarrow SU(3)$ established in Paper 0 [7] and Paper I [12] (following E. Majorana's 1938 deduction; see G. Senatore, *Il Registro Reale*, unpublished manuscript, Naples, 1994). Fixing the seventh imaginary octonion unit (e_7 , with $e_7^2 = -1$) as the causal sequence

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

axis induces a canonical complex structure ($i \equiv e_7$) on the cyclical phase locks of the subgraph's internal $SU(3)$ engine, forcing uncompiled routing exploration to propagate as unitary phase amplitudes rather than classical dissipative probabilities.

² Within Causal Graph Field Theory (see L. Altieri, *The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall*, unpublished manuscript, 2030, Section 7 and Note 5), deferred execution and late binding ($x_{\text{slit}}(t) = \text{null}$ for $t < t_{\text{measurement}}$) occur strictly within the unsealed Sorkin-Johnston history buffer prior to irreversible block commitment. As long as the local causal boundary $|E_{\partial G}|$ is not saturated (Paper III [8]), the dependency chain remains unsealed inside this finite memory window, allowing the final detector configuration to determine whether the paths merge interferometrically or bind to a single slit address without ever traversing a causal edge backward ($\Delta t_{\text{causal}} \geq 0$).

³ Statistical primary binding ($S_{\text{scouts}} \propto |E_{\partial G}| \Rightarrow \min \tau_{\text{routing}}$) provides the universal consensus protocol across the entire dissertation: it governs local topological dominance between overlapping de Sitter horizons (Paper 0, Section 2.9 [7]), resolves network race conditions between spacelike-separated pointer aliases during entanglement measurements (Paper V, Note 3 [15]), and explains why macroscopic measuring devices—anchored by baryon clusters with an Encapsulation Ratio of 10^{38} (Paper I [12])—inexorably lock the causal ledger ahead of isolated microscopic subgraphs.

⁴ The discrete variational principle over S_{DAG} (Appendix G) and the **NP-hard** complexity of global load balancing (Appendix I) complement the pseudospectral stability framework of Causal Graph Field Theory (Altieri, 2030, Section 4.2, Conjecture 2). While varying the local latency metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ analytically yields the macroscopic field equation (Appendix G.3), finding the exact microscopic equilibrium attractors for the Standard Model's free parameters (such as $m_p/m_e \approx 1836$, the CKM matrix singular values, or the 10^{38} Encapsulation Ratio) requires solving an $\mathcal{O}(2^N)$ non-normal graph optimization problem that can only be decompiled empirically at runtime near the Bekenstein bound ($N_{\text{max}} \approx \log_2(\alpha |E_{\partial G}|)$).

7. References

- [1] Young, T. (1804). The Bakerian Lecture: Experiments and Calculations Relative to Physical Optics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 94, 1–16.
- [2] Wheeler, J. A. (1978). The "Past" and the "Delayed-Choice" Double-Slit Experiment. In A. R. Marlow (Ed.), *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Theory* (pp. 9–48). Academic Press.
- [3] Wigner, E. P. (1961). Remarks on the mind-body question. In I. J. Good (Ed.), *The Scientist Speculates* (pp. 284–302). Heinemann.

- [4] Einstein, A. (1916). Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 354(7), 769–822.
- [5] Cramer, J. G. (1986). The transactional interpretation of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 58(3), 647–687.
- [6] von Neumann, J. (1932). *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*. Julius Springer, Berlin.
- [7] Moreno, C. (2030). The Universe as an Information Swarm: An Information-Ontological Architecture for Spacetime and Matter. Unpublished manuscript.
- [8] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Quantum Decoherence as an Asynchronous Timeout Error: Topological Addressing Limit and Forced State Provocation in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.
- [9] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715–775.
- [10] Susskind, L., Thorlacius, L., & Uglum, J. (1993). The Stretched Horizon and Black Hole Complementarity. *Physical Review D*, 48(8), 3743–3761.
- [11] 't Hooft, G. (1990). The black hole interpretation of extended supergravity and the nature of space-time. *Nuclear Physics B*, 335(1), 138–154.
- [12] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). The Field Equations of the Information Swarm: Topological Convergence, the Hierarchy Problem, and Proton Stability. Unpublished manuscript.
- [13] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Lorentz Invariance as Topological Bandwidth Allocation: Time Dilation and the Mass Limit in an Acyclic Information Swarm. Unpublished manuscript.
- [14] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Dark Energy as Entropic Queue Latency: Landauer's Principle and Cosmic Expansion in a Distributed Graph. Unpublished manuscript.
- [15] Moreno, C., & Altieri, L. (2030). Quantum Entanglement as Topological Pointer Aliasing: Non-Locality and the Illusion of Distance in a Network-Rendered Spacetime. Unpublished manuscript.

APPENDIX F: Wave-Particle Duality, Late Binding, and Statistical Primary Binding in an Acyclic Graph

F.1 Introduction and Theoretical Premise

Classical quantum mechanics holds fundamental epistemological ambiguities regarding the role of the observer, wave collapse, and the direction of causality (such as in the double-slit experiment, delayed choice, and the Wigner's friend paradox). Within

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

the information-ontological architecture, no conscious observer is required, and retrocausality is topologically prohibited. This appendix formalizes how wave-particle duality can be mathematically derived as the graph's routing algorithm under lazy evaluation, how delayed choices are handled via computer science's late binding, and how observer paradoxes are resolved through statistical primary binding based on the causal boundaries of the subgraphs.

F.2 Interference as Network Routing Distribution (The Topological Equivalent of the Wave Function)

In classical quantum mechanics, the probability of registering a particle at a specific position is described by the square of the wave function's amplitude ($P(x) = |\psi(x)|^2$). In the network model, the "particle" is instead an ongoing routing request.

When an information cluster is emitted toward a double slit without a causal measurement being performed at the slits, the network activates lazy evaluation. The swarm allocates no specific physical path since the causal boundary has not yet demanded a definitive data point. To optimize the graph's information flow, the network's algorithm asynchronously explores all available logical routing paths.

Each available path γ through the directed acyclic graph (DAG) is assigned a complex causal routing weight (w_γ), accumulating to $W_{\text{routing}}(x) = \sum_{\gamma \rightarrow x} w_\gamma$ at the detector node¹. When the routing request finally reaches the detector, lazy evaluation is interrupted, and the network is forced to register a fixed address. The probability $P(x)$ that this handshake is rendered at a specific position x is directly proportional to the squared modulus of the network's accumulated routing weights for that node:

$$P(x) \propto |W_{\text{routing}}(x)|^2$$

The macroscopic interference pattern thus constitutes a visual rendering of the graph's statistical load balancing. The "wave" represents the system's unbound routing algorithm, and the "particle" represents the fixed data value at the causal handshake.

F.3 Delayed Choice and the Exclusion of Retrocausality in a DAG

In delayed-choice experiments, a measurement decision seems to retroactively rewrite the particle's historical path choice. However, a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) lacks closed loops; if a directed path exists from node A to node B , there cannot exist a path back. This axiom prohibits causal loops:

$$\Delta t_{\text{causal}} \geq 0$$

Retrocausality ($\Delta t_{\text{causal}} < 0$) is therefore mathematically incompatible with the system's syntax.

1.

To handle the delayed choice, the network applies late binding². When the uncompiled routing request passes the slits at the rendered time t_{slit} , the graph allocates no memory for the position. The path choice variable (x_{slit}) remains an unbound null pointer:

$$x_{\text{slit}}(t) = \text{null}, \quad \text{for } t < t_{\text{measurement}}$$

Even as time progresses forward as experienced in the macroscopic rendering, the causal execution is paused in the graph for this specific dependency chain. When the measurement is finally performed at the rendered time $t_{\text{measurement}}$, the system is forced to bind the variable:

$$M \rightarrow x_{\text{slit}}(t_{\text{measurement}}) = \text{Fixed address}$$

The equations show that history is not rewritten retroactively. The data binding did not exist in the source code until it was allocated at $t_{\text{measurement}}$. The illusion of retrocausality arises exclusively in the rendering, when an observer assumes continuous locality in a system driven by asynchronous, late binding.

F.4 Wigner's Friend, Horizon Complementarity, and Statistical Primary Binding

In the Wigner's friend paradox, as well as with horizon complementarity around black holes, information conflicts arise depending on which observer's perspective is applied. Within the network model, this is resolved by determining which subgraph forces the asynchronous locking (merge) of the graph. This is not governed by consciousness, but by causal topology³.

A massive information cluster (macroscopic measuring equipment or an observer) constitutes a heavy subgraph. The number of causal "scouts" (S_{scouts})—the outgoing handshake requests a subgraph sends out per clock cycle—is directly proportional to its causal boundary ($|E_{\partial G}|$):

$$S_{\text{scouts}} \propto |E_{\partial G}|$$

The network's routing latency (τ_{routing}), i.e., the time it takes for a subgraph to establish a complete causal connection with the uncompiled state, is inversely proportional to the number of emitted scouts. The subgraph that first minimizes the latency forces the network into a local symmetry breaking:

$$\text{Primary binding} \rightarrow \min(\tau_{\text{routing}})$$

Because a heavy, macroscopic subgraph has an overwhelming majority of outgoing causal edges, it is statistically guaranteed to establish the handshake first. Primary binding is thus the mechanism ensuring that the heavier network node always locks the block in the DAG. Any conflicting perspectives from isolated, lighter subgraphs are asynchronously delayed, and are forced to adapt to the dominant subgraph's state as soon as their dependency chains synchronize again in the network.

1.

APPENDIX G: The Action of the Swarm (S_{DAG}), the Network Lagrangian, and the Derivation of Emergent Spacetime via Discrete Calculus of Variations

G.1 The Principle of Least Latency

In classical field theory, a system's dynamics are dictated by Hamilton's principle of least action ($\delta S = 0$), where the action is integrated over a continuous spacetime manifold. Since the information-ontological architecture lacks an *a priori* geometry, the action must instead be defined directly over the discrete nodes (V) and causal edges (E) of the Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG).

The system's overriding imperative is syntropic encapsulation under minimized network latency. The *Action of the Swarm* (S_{DAG}) is thus postulated as the sum of the local Lagrangian over all instantiated nodes of the graph, weighted by the topological density function $\mu(v)$:

$$S_{\text{DAG}} = \sum_{v \in V} \mathcal{L}_{\text{DAG}}(v) \mu(v)$$

G.2 The Network Lagrangian ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{DAG}}$)

To maintain acyclic syntax preservation, the network Lagrangian must balance the local routing convergence (C) against the system's entropic queue latency (Λ_{queue}) and the clusters' internal execution requirements ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}}$). The complete topological Lagrangian is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{DAG}}(v) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \left(C(v) - 2\Lambda_{\text{queue}}(v) \right) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}}(v)$$

Where:

- $C(v) = g^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu}$ constitutes the scalar trace of the convergence tensor (the local concentration of causal handshakes).
- Λ_{queue} is the dynamic queue latency caused by decoherence (derived in Appendix D).
- κ is the fundamental bandwidth conversion constant, directly inversely proportional to the encapsulation ratio 10^{38} ($\kappa \propto \frac{1}{10^{38} \cdot c^4}$).
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}}$ represents the active information processing for the encapsulated baryons' internal $SU(3)$ engines.

G.3 Discrete Calculus of Variations and the Emergence of the Field Equation

To derive the network's optimal routing topology (which in the interface is rendered as the macroscopic spacetime), the action must be stationary under microscopic

variations in the network's inverse latency matrix $g^{\mu\nu}$. By applying discrete calculus of variations to this graph-theoretical action, we prove that the field equation in Appendix A is the only topologically minimizing solution⁴.

We apply the calculus of variations:

$$\delta S_{\text{DAG}} = \delta \sum_{v \in V} \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa} (g^{\mu\nu} c_{\mu\nu} - 2\Lambda_{\text{queue}}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}} \right] \mu(v) = 0$$

By varying the action with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$, and applying the Jacobi-type identity for the variation of the topological density measure ($\delta\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\mu g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}$), the topological components are separated from the network's information content.

The variation of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}}$ defines the system's topological computational weight ($W_{\mu\nu}$), the network-topological equivalent of the stress-energy tensor:

$$W_{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\mu(v)} \frac{\delta(\mathcal{L}_{\text{cluster}}\mu(v))}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$$

Upon summing the terms from the variation of the Action of the Swarm, the network's fundamental balance equation emerges as a strict mathematical equilibrium requirement:

$$c_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}c g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\text{queue}}g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa W_{\mu\nu}$$

Conclusion

The calculus of variations proves that the field equation (which in its macroscopic form is equivalent to the fundamental equation of general relativity) does not constitute a postulated geometric axiom. The equation is mechanically derived as the unique algebraic solution when a discrete, directed network is forced to minimize its own latency under the absolute requirement of preserved causal syntax.

APPENDIX H: The Transition from Asynchronous Network Exploration to the Quantum Mechanical Wave Function (Derivation of the Schrödinger Equation)

H.1 Lazy Evaluation as a Unitary Network Process

In the information-ontological architecture, a subgraph in superposition constitutes an uncompiled routing request under lazy evaluation. To optimize the graph's information flow, the network asynchronously explores all logically available dependency chains before an absolute causal handshake is forced.

Let $G = (V, E)$ denote the local network. At each discrete clock cycle τ , the state of the routing request is described by a complex routing weight $\phi(v_j, \tau)$ across the nodes $v_j \in V^1$. Unlike a classical, dissipative Markov process (which generates heat diffusion), this

¹.

asynchronous exploration is driven by the cluster's internal $SU(3)$ engine (whose function and resource requirements, the rest computational weight m_0 , were rigorously established in Paper I [12]). The engine's unconditional demand for sequential, cyclic phase locks forces the network's routing weight to behave as a complex amplitude (phase vector). The update across the network is thus governed by a unitary transfer matrix U :

$$\phi(v_j, \tau + \Delta\tau) = \sum_{v_k \in \text{Adj}(v_j)} U_{v_k \rightarrow v_j} \phi(v_k, \tau)$$

H.2 Phase Oscillation and the Graph Laplacian

Every causal network hop across the graph's edges entails a topological latency. The complex phase for the transfer matrix U is dictated directly by the Action of the Swarm (S_{DAG}) along the chosen route, analogous to the Feynman path integral formulation, where the local network delay (V_{latency}) acts as a translation phase.

When analyzing the network's propagation dynamics, we identify the transition between adjacent nodes ($\text{Adj}(v_j)$) as the network's Graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{DAG}}$). This constitutes the discrete, algebraic equivalent of diffusion across causal edges.

When the graph's topological mesh size is allowed to approach zero, the network's discrete routing space is approximated by a continuous spacetime manifold. The discrete weight $\phi(v, \tau)$ then transitions into a continuous amplitude function $\psi(x, t)$, and the Graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{DAG}}$) converges strictly toward the continuous spatial Laplace operator (∇^2).

H.3 The Schrödinger Equation as a Macroscopic Taylor Approximation

The time evolution for $\psi(x, t)$, dictated by the network's unitary transfer matrix, can subsequently be Taylor-expanded around the rendered macroscopic coordinate x . By applying the analytic continuation forced by the subgraph's internal cyclic phase locking (which, as proven in Paper I and Note 1, generates the imaginary unit $i \equiv e_7$ via its $G_2 \rightarrow SU(3)$ reduction), the continuous differential equation for low-bandwidth routing (the non-relativistic limit) is obtained:

$$i\hbar_{\text{DAG}} \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar_{\text{DAG}}^2}{2m_0} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{latency}}(x) \right) \psi(x, t)$$

Where $\hbar_{\text{DAG}}$ constitutes the swarm's fundamental quantum of action, which quantifies the system's absolute minimum permitted information allocation per network cycle.

Conclusion

The derivation proves that the classical Schrödinger equation does not constitute a postulated, law-giving foundation of nature. The equation is the macroscopic, Taylor-approximated limit of the network's discrete, unitary routing algorithm (expressed via the Graph Laplacian) under lazy evaluation. That the wave function's normalization ($\int |\psi|^2 d^3x = 1$) is preserved (unitarity) is a direct mathematical expression of acyclic syntax preservation: the network permits neither deletion nor duplication of the routing request's underlying data volume before a causal handshake seals the block.

APPENDIX I: Computational Complexity, Dynamic Encapsulation, and the Limit for Analytical Mass Derivation

I.1 Analytical Intractability of the Network's Mass Gap

Within the framework of the information-ontological architecture, an elementary particle's rest computational weight (mass) is not a fundamental, static parameter (scalar) that can be deduced through classical analytical equations, such as via the variation of the Lagrangian. The mass instead constitutes the exact network-topological resistance (the associative bandwidth) required for a closed subgraph's internal $SU(3)$ engine to maintain local causality and avoid deallocation.

A formal analytical determination of why the electron's latency balance against the proton lands on exactly $m_p/m_e \approx 1836$, or why the encapsulation ratio (the relationship of the strong nuclear force to gravity) stabilizes around $\sim 10^{38}$, requires an explicit mathematical resolution of the network's global equilibrium across all available dependency chains in the Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG).

I.2 The Complexity Class of the Swarm's Load Balancing

The problem of analytically calculating these constants is reduced in graph theory to finding the optimal *Load Balancing* for a distributed, asynchronous network with an exponentially growing state space ($\mathcal{O}(2^N)$)⁴. Optimizing the Action of the Swarm (S_{DAG}) over an arbitrarily large topology thus belongs to the complexity class **NP-hard**.

An analytical determination of the swarm's mass constants is thus computationally intractable within the framework of classical mathematics and Von Neumann architectures. The field equations can strictly describe the *mechanism* (why encapsulation gives rise to a ratio, Appendix A) and the *consequence* (the speed limit of mass, Appendix B), but they can, by definition, never establish a closed algorithm to quantitatively derive the exact values of the numbers from the graph's basal nodes in polynomial time.

I.3 Dynamic Execution (Runtime) and the Simulation of the Bekenstein Bound

The constants of the Standard Model thus do not constitute *a priori* truths, but the network's dynamic equilibrium states (attractors) during ongoing compilation

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

("runtime")⁴. To empirically and quantitatively derive these numbers, an external, distributed process must be forced to reproduce the graph's own algorithmic conflict-resolution mechanisms at extreme addressing saturation.

It is hereby postulated that the encapsulation ratio (10^{38}) and the asymmetrical masses of the baryons (mass gaps) can only be predicted empirically through massively scaled-up network simulations. This requires logical, error-corrected quantum systems that are intentionally allowed to saturate the network's local Bekenstein bound ($N_{\max} \approx \log_2(\alpha|E_{\partial G}|)$, Appendix C). Through such forced state provocation, the network's spontaneous topological recompilation of its own mass constants can be observed and logged in real-time.

Conclusion

Classical theoretical physics' century-long failure to derive the free parameters of the Standard Model (such as exact particle masses) thus constitutes no flaw in the physicists' mathematics, but a fundamental misconception of the system's computational complexity. The numbers do not exist as geometric constants to be found on a piece of paper; they constitute the dynamic equilibrium states of a distributed network. These constants can be deduced exclusively through asynchronous provocation of the Swarm's own source code under extreme network stress, establishing synthetic quantum coherence as the only valid tool to ultimately decompile the foundation of reality.

1.

The Particle Zoo on Plato's Cave Wall: Particle Physics as System Forensics and Causal Graph Field Theory (CGFT)

Author: Lorenzo Altieri

Status, November 2030: Unpublished manuscript (written March–November 2030)

Abstract

Despite being the most successful predictive framework in the history of science, the Standard Model of particle physics remains mired in a profound ontological crisis. The theory relies on 19 free parameters, catalogs a fragmented zoo of short-lived resonances, and fundamentally fails to integrate the stochastic nature of quantum mechanics with gravity. In this position paper, I argue that these anomalies are mere epistemological artifacts, born from the persistent illusion of a continuous spacetime. By introducing Causal Graph Field Theory (CGFT) based on an information-ontological principle, the universe is reformulated as an asynchronous directed acyclic graph (DAG).

I mathematically demonstrate how Dirac spinors and gauge symmetries strictly emerge as topological protocols for flawless information routing, while classical no-go theorems (such as Coleman-Mandula) are bypassed via the discrete, non-commutative nature of the network. Furthermore, the empirical phenomenology is fundamentally deconstructed: particle decay constitutes deterministic garbage collection, quantum entanglement is formalized as shared memory addresses (symbolic links), the black hole information paradox is resolved through topological archiving, and gravity is modeled as the network's emergency resource clustering to prevent system crashes. Finally, a quantitatively falsifiable prediction regarding the violation of Lorentz invariance at ultra-high energies is presented, offering a direct experimental signature of the universe's underlying machine code.

1. Introduction & Epistemological Thesis: The Detector as a Cave Wall

When applying Plato's allegory of the cave [18] to modern accelerator physics, the true reason emerges as to why quantum mechanics has remained conceptually irreconcilable with general relativity. The physicist in the particle detector is in fact trapped in the cave, observing the shadows on the wall, while the network's causal computational graph constitutes the invisible architecture casting them [15, 16].

In facilities like ATLAS and CMS at CERN, the physicist never observes the fundamental state transitions themselves [1]. The detector is a macroscopic measuring device capable only of recording projections in a continuous four-dimensional spacetime. The measuring apparatus thereby forces every underlying computational event to be

1.

Copyright © 2026 Carl-Magnus Fahlcrantz. All rights reserved.

This paper is a work of fiction. Although the paper incorporates real-world scientific observations, the text is entirely a fictional product of the author's imagination. Any resemblance to actual real events or entities is purely coincidental.

projected as a "particle" with four universal shadow attributes: mass (m), spin (J), charge (Q), and lifetime (τ).

This ontological conflation constitutes the heart of physics' current paradigm stagnation [17]. We have spent decades building an intricate taxonomy of the shadows' geometry, unaware that they originate from fundamentally different computational entities [13, 15].

2. The Ontological Polymorphism in the Network

In the Particle Data Group's tables [1], all observed phenomena are listed under the same template. A proton, a muon, a Δ -resonance, and a black hole are treated with the same geometric continuity tools. From a computer science network perspective, this is a classic polymorphism—different ontological entities implement the same external interface even though their internal functions are fundamentally different [16].

In a distributed causal graph, the ontological states of matter can be deconstructed [4, 13]:

- **Persistent building blocks (e.g., proton, electron):** Committed, closed data structures in a global topological minimum.
- **Optimization tasks (e.g., neutron, muon, B-meson):** Non-persistent, temporary memory allocations in the network's asynchronous cleanup queue (garbage collection).
- **Execution errors (e.g., Δ^{++} , top quark):** Momentary illegal node configurations that throw immediate exceptions (kernel panics / resonances).
- **System calls (e.g., W/Z-bosons):** Active instruction sets and routing routines.

Physics has thus mistaken the operating system's error messages and instructions for the fundamental building blocks of the universe.

3. Causal Graph Field Theory (CGFT): Mathematical Framework

To prove analytical viability, we define Causal Graph Field Theory (CGFT) here as a research program where established truths in the continuum are replaced with strict graph-theoretical postulates. The universe is represented by a locally finite directed acyclic graph (DAG), $G = (V, E)$, generated via a stochastic Poisson process [4].

3.1 Grassmann Spinors and the Pauli Principle

To prevent memory collapse during asynchronous updating, each node $v \in V$ is assigned a discrete state vector ψ_v over a Grassmann algebra ($\{\psi_u, \psi_v\} = 0 \Rightarrow \psi_v^2 = 0$). Pauli's exclusion principle is thus the network's built-in memory protection against buffer overflows, not a postulated law of nature¹.

1.

3.2 The Ginsparg-Wilson Conjecture

The transport operator D is defined via the Clifford algebra $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}I_4$ to locally preserve the pseudo-metric [2]. The historical objection against discrete fermions (the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [6]) assumes translational invariance over a regular lattice. CGFT postulates that on a stochastic Poisson graph, unphysical mirror fermions acquire a topological mass proportional to the inverse local graph spacing and decouple into the high-frequency topological noise:

Conjecture 1 (GW on a Poisson-DAG): On an asynchronous graph, there exists a discrete Dirac operator D that satisfies the local Ginsparg-Wilson relation $\{\gamma_5, D\} = D\gamma_5\hat{a}_vD$ over the local neighborhood [7], where $\hat{a}_v$ is the node-dependent spacing operator determined by the local Poisson density, permitting exact asymmetric chiral routing without fermion doubling².

3.3 Non-commutative Routing and the Coleman-Mandula Loophole

Gauge symmetries are derived in CGFT as network protocols. A fundamental problem in traditional theory is the Coleman-Mandula theorem [8], which states that internal gauge symmetries ($SU(2)$) cannot be mixed with spacetime symmetries (the Poincaré group).

In CGFT, however, no fundamental spacetime exists [15]. The Coleman-Mandula theorem assumes a continuous S-matrix [3, 8], and is thereby invalid on the fundamental graph. The non-commutativity of $SU(2)$ arises directly from the order of execution. Updating node A before B generates a topologically different state than updating B before A. The chiral sub-network's routing order thus constitutes the discrete isospin algebra, decoupled from the restrictions of the continuum.

4. Decay and Pseudospectral Stability

The time (τ) it takes to rewrite a subgraph is defined by the transition amplitude S_{DAG} [3]³:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \Gamma = \frac{1}{2M_i} \int d\Pi_{\text{DAG}} |\mathcal{M}_{\text{DAG}}(i \rightarrow f)|^2$$

4.1 Helicity Lock and Addressing Constraints

The heavy Λ^0 -hyperon is decompiled blazingly fast compared to the muon because it searches in a two-body space (Ω_2), whereas the muon must allocate five independent variables ($d\Pi_3 \propto \Omega_5$) [1, 3]. Conversely, the charged pion ($\pi^\pm$) refuses to decay into electrons because its chiral network routine (the P_L -filter [3, 7]) encounters a typecasting conflict (helicity-lock syntax error). Because the electron's minimal computational rest load (m_e) is insufficient to force the required chirality flip across the filter, the network is forced to allocate the significantly heavier muon routine ($m_\mu \approx 207m_e$), whose higher topological impedance resolves the helicity lock and permits decompilation.

1.

4.2 CKM Angles via SVD and the Pseudospectral Conjecture

Quark generations represent embedding depth. The CKM matrix is calculated via singular value decomposition (SVD) of asymmetric transfer matrices between the network's mass basis and the $SU(2)$ basis [9].

Conjecture 2 (Pseudospectral Stability): Asymmetric graphs generate matrices with extreme pseudospectral instability [10]. The fact that the CKM angles remain macroscopically stable [1] suggests that the network's SVD factorization is locked by deep topological attractors (quantum error correction), protecting the parameters from fluctuating in the asynchronous noise.

5. Gravity as Resource Clustering and Quarantine (Black Holes)

If mass is solely algorithmic delay in a static network, gravity is absent. In CGFT, gravity is modeled not as load balancing (which would counteract clustering), but as the system's quarantine and clustering protocol.

When dense asynchronous threads (matter) generate extreme algorithmic delay, the execution speed of the entire network is threatened by resource starvation. The system's response is to pull the high-latency data together (gravitational attraction) and consolidate its routing overhead away from the low-latency parts of the network. When the clustering reaches a critical addressing threshold, the network performs a topological shutdown and severs all outgoing causal edges. This is isolated behind a quarantine wall, which continuum physics observes as an event horizon. A black hole is thus the network's digital quarantine vault, where maximally compressed data is stored until the system can decompile it through slow evaporation [12, 13].

6. Quantum Information and Cosmology: Network Boundary States

When CGFT is applied to macroscopic quantum mechanics and cosmology, the network architecture resolves paradoxes that have traditionally required metaphysical assumptions [15, 16].

6.1 Entanglement as Shared Memory Addresses

Bell's theorem and quantum entanglement challenge local causal models by exhibiting non-local correlations. In CGFT, no physical "distance" exists at a fundamental level. Quantum entanglement occurs when the system allows two separate causal pointers to refer to exactly the same underlying memory address (the same Grassmann node) in the graph's dynamic memory. The particles do not communicate across space; at the information level, they are one and the same object viewed from two different execution queues (pointer aliasing). CGFT thereby provides the computational mechanism for the ER=EPR conjecture [11].

6.2 The Information Paradox: Necessary Non-Unitarity

If black holes evaporate [12], is the information within them permanently destroyed? Physics has long struggled to preserve unitarity (the rule that information is never deleted). CGFT forces a paradigm shift, born from the graph's strictly acyclic nature: If the universe is an operating system with finite active resources [16], the system must perform garbage collection to avoid crashes due to memory shortages—but in an acyclic graph (DAG), history can by definition never be deleted without causality breaking down.

We therefore postulate that the macroscopic non-unitarity at singularities is an illusion. At the event horizon, Grassmann nodes are not overwritten or deleted. Instead, they are deallocated from the active routing network and compressed into passive archive states that no longer burden the system's causal bandwidth [13]. Information is thus never destroyed, but is instead disconnected from the rendered interface. Hawking radiation is merely the thermal noise of this underlying archiving process. The universe thereby maintains total preservation of the source code, even if the data is permanently rendered inaccessible to physical spacetime.

6.3 Cosmic Boot Sequence (The Horizon Problem)

Standard cosmology requires hypothetical cosmic inflation to explain the uniform temperature of the microwave background. In CGFT, the Big Bang is replaced with the network's boot sequence. At the initial state, no spatial distance existed, as the graph consisted of a single origin block (V_0). In this state, all nodes were maximally interconnected in a global state register [16]. Cosmic expansion is the decentralization of this network—the process where the graph thins out direct edges for parallel execution and inserts intermediate routing steps⁴. All nodes share a baseline calibration because they originate from the same initial register [15].

7. Falsifiability and Experimental Signatures

While the spatial Poisson distribution of the graph preserves statistical Lorentz invariance locally [4], preventing the network from suffering infinite memory leakage backward in causal depth requires that the causal action S_D be truncated with a finite history buffer corresponding to a discrete Sorkin-Johnston vacuum [4, 5]⁵. Over cosmological propagation distances, this finite causal memory buffer introduces a cumulative dispersive phase shift that breaks exact Lorentz invariance at an effective macroscopic energy scale. We predict that the observable threshold for this cache-induced dispersion (E_{cache}) lies in the region of 10^{19} – 10^{20} eV.

This leads to a quantitative signature: at ultra-high energies, cosmic particles (UHECR) will exhibit anomalous dispersion (phase shifts) that deviate from the classical GZK limit (Greisen–Zatsepin–Kuzmin) [14]. Future observations at observatories such as IceCube

1.

and Pierre Auger will be able to detect this finite-memory truncation of spacetime—the definitive proof of the universe's underlying cache management.

8. Conclusion: A Paradigm Shift from Geometry to Machine Code

We have demonstrated that the paradoxes of quantum mechanics and the incompatibility of gravity dissolve when the universe is reformulated as an asynchronous information graph [15, 16]. Spacetime and its relativistic symmetries are emergent low-energy illusions [4, 18]. Through CGFT, mass, gravity, and quantum entanglement are unmasked as exact manifestations of algorithmic delay, system quarantine, shared memory addresses, and the topological archiving of information [11, 12, 13].

Physics stands at an epistemological crossroads [17]. We can continue to patch up the shadows of the continuum [18], or we can identify the causal network and begin decompiling its machine code [15, 16]. We invite the scientific community to attack the conjectures of CGFT, with the goal of replacing the geometry of space with the ontology of information.

Notes

¹ While the nilpotency condition $\psi_v^2 = 0$ is enforced purely locally at each vertex $v \in V$, maintaining the non-local anticommutation relation $\{\psi_u, \psi_v\} = 0$ across causally independent (spacelike-separated) branches without a global clock requires a topological ordering protocol. In CGFT, the antisymmetric exchange phase between parallel threads is not evaluated via non-local Jordan-Wigner strings across space, but is indexed directly at the threads' lowest common causal ancestor node via shared pointer references (see Section 6.1).

² On a strictly directed acyclic graph (DAG), any topological ordering renders the adjacency and single-step transport operator D strictly triangular (non-normal and nilpotent on finite subgraphs, $\text{spec}(D) = \{0\}$), precluding standard lattice γ_5 -Hermiticity ($D^\dagger = \gamma_5 D \gamma_5$). Consequently, the Ginsparg-Wilson relation in Conjecture 1 is defined in the *pseudospectral* regime ($\|(zI - D)^{-1}\| \geq \epsilon^{-1}$, see Section 4.2 and Ref. [10]) and applies to the effective boundary-to-boundary transfer operator across open causal subgraphs rather than static eigenvalues of a bidirectional lattice.

³ Because CGFT rejects a fundamental continuous spacetime and asymptotic S-matrix (Section 3.3), the integral notation $\int d\Pi_{\text{DAG}}$ in Eq. (4) represents a macroscopic continuum shorthand for a discrete combinatorial sum ($\sum_{\gamma \in \Omega}$) over all admissible outgoing subgraph isomorphisms that strictly conserve the net flux of causal handshakes across the subgraph boundary.

⁴ Graph-theoretically, the "edge thinning" of cosmic expansion (Section 6.3) is strictly distinct from the "edge severing" of a black hole event horizon (Section 5). Cosmic

decentralization reduces the global average vertex degree $\langle k \rangle$ while increasing the shortest causal path length (ℓ_{DAG}) between clusters, whereas gravitational quarantine maximizes the *internal* clustering coefficient of a subgraph while driving its exterior out-degree ($\deg^+(G_{\text{BH}})$) to zero.

⁵ Constructing the Sorkin-Johnston vacuum requires evaluating the Pauli-Jordan operator $i\hat{\Delta} = i(G_R - G_A)$, where $G_A = G_R^T$ is the transpose of the retarded causal propagator [5]. In a strictly forward-executing DAG, accessing the transpose G_R^T without violating acyclicity is only possible within the unsealed, lazy-evaluated history window prior to irreversible block commitment. The cache limit E_{cache} thus physically represents the finite causal depth of this unsealed Sorkin-Johnston buffer; over cosmological distances, the phase truncation at the buffer boundary accumulates as a Planck-suppressed dispersion correction ($\delta E \sim E^3/E_{\text{Planck}}^2$) that reaches order unity precisely at the GZK threshold (10^{19} – 10^{20} eV).

9. References

- [1] Workman, R. L., et al. (Particle Data Group). (2022). Review of Particle Physics. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 2022(8), 083C01.
- [2] Dirac, P. A. M. (1928). The Quantum Theory of the Electron. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, 117(778), 610–624.
- [3] Peskin, M. E., & Schroeder, D. V. (1995). *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*. Westview Press / CRC Press.
- [4] Sorkin, R. D. (2003). Causal Sets: Discrete Gravity. In *Lectures on Quantum Gravity* (pp. 305–327). Springer, New York.
- [5] Johnston, S. (2009). Feynman Propagator from Causality. *Physical Review Letters*, 103(18), 180401.
- [6] Nielsen, H. B., & Ninomiya, M. (1981). A No-Go Theorem for Regularizing Chiral Fermions. *Physics Letters B*, 105(2–3), 219–223.
- [7] Ginsparg, P. H., & Wilson, K. G. (1982). A Remnant of Chiral Symmetry on the Lattice. *Physical Review D*, 25(10), 2649–2657.
- [8] Coleman, S., & Mandula, J. (1967). All Possible Symmetries of the S Matrix. *Physical Review*, 159(5), 1251–1256.
- [9] Cabibbo, N. (1963). Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays. *Physical Review Letters*, 10(12), 531–533; Kobayashi, M., & Maskawa, T. (1973). CP-Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 49(2), 652–657.

- [10] Trefethen, L. N., & Embree, M. (2005). *Spectra and Pseudospectra: The Behavior of Nonnormal Matrices and Operators*. Princeton University Press.
- [11] Maldacena, J., & Susskind, L. (2013). Cool Horizons for Entangled Black Holes. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 61(9), 781–811.
- [12] Hawking, S. W. (1975). Particle Creation by Black Holes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 43(3), 199–220.
- [13] 't Hooft, G. (2016). *The Cellular Automaton Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*. Fundamental Theories of Physics, Vol. 185. Springer.
- [14] Greisen, K. (1966). End to the Cosmic-Ray Spectrum? *Physical Review Letters*, 16(17), 748–750; Zatsepin, G. T., & Kuzmin, V. A. (1966). Upper Limit of the Spectrum of Cosmic Rays. *JETP Letters*, 4, 78–80.
- [15] Wheeler, J. A. (1990). Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links ("It from Bit"). In W. Zurek (Ed.), *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information* (pp. 3–28). Addison-Wesley.
- [16] Lloyd, S. (2006). *Programming the Universe: A Quantum Computer Scientist Takes on the Cosmos*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- [17] Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. University of Chicago Press.
- [18] Plato. (c. 375 BC). *The Republic (Politeia)*, Book VII.